

# STAKEHOLDER REPORT

# CONSULTATION

---

|  |         |    |            |
|--|---------|----|------------|
| PUBLICATION  | DATE    |    | 14.10.2020 |
| VERSION  |         | v. | 1.1        |
| RELATED  | SUPPORT |    | –          |
| <b>TEMPLATE GUIDE Stakeholder Consultation Report v. 1.1</b> |         |    |            |

---

This document contains the following Sections

Key Project Information

SECTION A – Information made available to Stakeholders

**Fehler! Verweisquelle konnte nicht gefunden werden.** – Invitations made to Stakeholders

SECTION C – Report of the Consultation Process

SECTION D – Continuous input / Grievance mechanism

SECTION E – Stakeholder Feedback Round

## KEY PROJECT INFORMATION

|                                      |   |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| <b>GS ID of Project</b>              | 10795   |
| <b>Title of Project</b>              | Implementation and operation of water kiosks in Kenya                               |
| <b>Version number of the SCR</b>     | 2.0   |
| <b>Completion date of version</b>    | 16 <sup>th</sup> November 2020  |
| <b>Time of First Submission Date</b> | 29 <sup>th</sup> July 2020 [Version 1.0]  |
| <b>Start Date of the Project</b>     | 01 <sup>st</sup> October 2020   |
| <b>Date of Meeting (s)</b>           | 28 <sup>th</sup> October 2020   |
| <b>Project Cycle:</b>                | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regular<br><input type="checkbox"/> Retroactive |

## SECTION A. INFORMATION MADE AVAILABLE TO STAKEHOLDERS

### Key project information as in the PoA

The mission of this planned program of activities ("PoA") named "*Implementation and operation of water kiosks*" is the installation and operation of Solar-PV powered Reverse Osmosis water kiosks worldwide to provide safe drinking water and displace water boiling using non-renewable biomass and/ or fossil-fuel as means of water purification. These activities shall be supported by carbon funding for the reduction of greenhouse gases for which registration under the Gold Standard is addressed. This project description has the purpose to inform stakeholders in the context of design consultation and the local stakeholder consultation. These consultations are part of the certification procedure according to the criteria of the Gold Standard, a foundation in Switzerland that certifies projects saving greenhouse gas emissions, according to high environmental and social standards.

### 1. Project Description and Design

The PoA shall consists of the construction and operation of several water kiosks as similar project activities (voluntary Project Activities ["VPAs"]). Water kiosks using



solar-PV powered Reverse Osmosis technology as proven mature technology to deliver safe, reliable and affordable drinking water. They are specifically designed to operate in harsh rural environments and require no grid connection or backup diesel generators. These water kiosks are able to provide drinking water that meet WHO water standards. As public 24/7 water ATM, equipped with taps or as bottling station the water kiosks will provide up to 20.000 litres of drinking water per day in 20 litres re-filled bottles to the end users. The technology is scalable coming in a variety of different capacity sizes depending on the needs of communities or institutions.



Schematic applications of a WaterKiosk®

The proposed PoA aims to increase the access to safe drinking water in different countries. Ground water reservoir are being negatively affected by rising salinity levels due to saltwater infiltration as a direct result of climate change. If accessible, tap water is often both saline and badly contaminated with bacteria and poses a great threat to the populations. Solar-PV powered RO water kiosks will provide residents access to water at the source. Besides producing safe drinking water, some water kiosks may include an aquaponics system as well, that combines fish farming and fruit and vegetable farming by a controlled water circulation between them. Finally, wastewater of water kiosks could also provide sanitation water needed for public toilets of the host communities. Such a diverse supply of water maximizes the social impact and circular economy character of the projects.



WaterKiosk® in Burani/ Kenya

#### a. Methodology

The VPA applies the small scale methodology AMS-III.AV “Low greenhouse gas emitting safe drinking water production systems”, Version 08.0.

|   |   |
|---|---|
| Typical project(s)                      | Project activities that introduce low GHG emitting water purification systems to provide safe drinking water and displace water boiling using non-renewable biomass or fossil fuels |
| Type of GHG emissions mitigation action | Displacement of a more-GHG-intensive output   |

#### b. Community Services Activity (CSA)

As a Community Services Activity the PoA leads to climate change mitigation and adaptation by improving access to services at the household or community level as defined by the Gold Standard Foundation.

#### **Eligibility Criteria of CSA**

- i. Types of project: Community Services Activity: Water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH)
- ii. Project area and boundary: Republic of Kenya
- iii. Project scale: Microscale Project

- iv. Legal ownership: atmosfair gGmbH has the legal ownership on the verified emission reduction based on the funding contract between atmosfair and the project partner. The relevant part of the contract will be provided.

c. Project participants as of now:


WaterKiosk Limited: Samuel Kinyanjui [kinyanjui@winture.de]

Boreal Light GmbH: Hamed Beheshti [beheshti@boreallight.com]

atmosfair gGmbH: Kevin Moeller [moeller@atmosfair.de]

d. Tentative organisational structure:

WaterKiosk Limited will be the implementer of WaterKiosks® and will be responsible for the operation and maintenance. The German company Boreal Light GmbH will be the manufacturer of the solar water desalination machines and responsible for spare parts and guarantees. atmosfair gGmbH, a non-profit company from Germany, will provide co-funding and coordinate the activities relevant for the Gold Standard certification process. The following diagram represents the currently planned management structure of the PoA applicable for VPA1 "Implementation and Operation of water kiosks in Kenia". This structure may still be amended and adapted for each VPA.



e. Terms of VPA inclusions to the PoA

- i. Each VPA is located in one country ("PoA boundary");
- ii. No VPA was a registered/ deregistered nor included in other PoAs;
- iii. Any VPA under this PoA will consists of WaterKiosks with annual emission reductions limited to maximum of 10,000 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>eq in each and every year of the crediting period ("microscale threshold");
- iv. The local stakeholder consultation will be conducted for each VPA;
- v. Any VPA start date shall not be before the PoA starting date; and
- vi. The Coordinating Managing Entity and the project activity operator shall confirm that in case of public funding, there is no diversion of Official Development Assistance.

## **2. Project Description and Design**

Generally, the access to safe drinking water in many parts of the world is limited. If households have access to water, the water is often badly contaminated with chloride, fluoride, calcium, sodium, nitrate, bacteria and salt. This poses a great threat to the population. This PoA will support countries with limited access to safe drinking water worldwide to offer access to hygiene drinking water at an affordable price to its population.

This PoA will contribute to the following Sustainable Development Goals:

- **SDG 6: Clean water and sanitation**  
Target 6.1. By 2030, achieve universal and equitable access to safe and affordable drinking water for all.  
Indicator 6.1.1. Proportion of population using safely managed drinking water services  
→ The PoA ensures easy and affordable access to clean and safe drinking water to families;
- **SDG 8: Decent work and economic growth**  
Target 8.5. By 2030, achieve full and productive employment and decent work for all women and men, including for young people and persons with disabilities, and equal pay for work of equal value.  
Indicator 8.5.2. Unemployment rate, by sex, age and persons with disabilities

→ There is the creation of 2 permanent jobs per WaterKiosk and – if the WaterKiosk includes an aquaponics system - up to 10 casual jobs for fish- and fruit or vegetable farming

- **SDG 13: Climate Action**

Target 13.2. Integrate climate change measures into national policies, strategies and planning.

Indicator: GHG emissions saved annually through the project as contribution to a low greenhouse gas emission development path

→ By displacing water boiling using non-renewable biomass and/or fossil-fuel as means of water purification with Solar-PV powered Reverse Osmosis water kiosks, the VPA reduces GHG emissions and thus, contributes to combat climate change.

Ex-ante estimates for annual average of emission reductions for the first 5 years of Crediting Period 1: 3,997 tCO<sub>2e</sub>.

### **A.1. A non-technical summary of the project**

The Project installs and operates several Solar-PV powered Reverse Osmosis water kiosks to provide safe drinking water and to displace water boiling using non-renewable biomass and/ or fossil-fuel as mean of water purification across Kenya.

Water kiosks using solar-PV powered Reverse Osmosis technology are a proven mature technology to deliver safe, reliable and affordable drinking water. They are specifically designed to operate in harsh rural environments and require no grid connection or backup diesel generators. In the baseline scenario, ground water reservoirs are being negatively affected by rising salinity levels due to saltwater infiltration as a direct result of climate change. If accessible, tap water is often both saline and badly contaminated with bacteria and poses a great threat to the populations.

As public 24/7 water ATM, equipped with taps or as local water supply stations (Plug & Play) the water kiosks offer a solution to the baseline situation by providing safe drinking water to the end users in refilled bins of 20 liter.

Solar-PV powered RO water kiosks, equipped with sophisticated remote monitoring and digital controlling unit will provide residents access to water at the source. These water kiosks are able to provide drinking water that meet WHO water standards.

Besides producing safe drinking water, the water kiosk may include an aquaponics system that combines fish farming and fruit and vegetable farming by a controlled water circulation between them.

## A.2. Contact details to get further technical detail and project information

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| <b>Organization name</b> | atmosfair gGmbH  |
| <b>Street/P.O. Box</b>   | Joseph Kang'ethe Road, 21700   |
| <b>Building</b>          | Jamhuri, Nairobi   |
| <b>City</b>              | Nairobi  |
| <b>State/Region</b>      | Nairobi  |
| <b>Postcode</b>          | 00100  |
| <b>Country</b>           | Kenya  |
| <b>Telephone/Mobile</b>  | +254 701 355 362   |
| <b>E-mail</b>            | <a href="mailto:Oduorcollins075@gmail.com">Oduorcollins075@gmail.com</a> |
| <b>Website</b>           | <a href="http://www.atmosfair.de">www.atmosfair.de</a>                   |
| <b>Contact person</b>    | Mr. Collins Otieno   |
| <b>Title</b>             | Country Representative   |
| <b>Organization name</b> | WaterKiosks Limited  |
| <b>Street/P.O. Box</b>   | Kitengela  |
| <b>City</b>              | Nairobi  |
| <b>State/Region</b>      | Kajiado  |
| <b>Postcode</b>          |  |
| <b>Country</b>           | Kenya  |
| <b>Telephone/Mobile</b>  | +254 720 913 020   |
| <b>E-mail</b>            | <a href="mailto:kinyanjui@winture.de">kinyanjui@winture.de</a>           |
| <b>Website</b>           | <a href="http://waterkiosk.africa/">http://waterkiosk.africa/</a>        |
| <b>Contact person</b>    | Mr. Samuel Kinyanjui   |
| <b>Title</b>             | Co-founder & Partner- in charge of operations in East and Central Africa |
| <b>Organization name</b> | atmosfair gGmbH  |
| <b>Street/P.O. Box</b>   | Zossener Straße 55-58  |
| <b>Building</b>          |  |
| <b>City</b>              | Berlin   |
| <b>State/Region</b>      | Berlin   |
| <b>Postcode</b>          | 10961  |
| <b>Country</b>           | Germany  |
| <b>Telephone/Mobile</b>  |  |
| <b>E-mail</b>            | <a href="mailto:moeller@atmosfair.de">moeller@atmosfair.de</a>           |
| <b>Website</b>           | <a href="http://www.atmosfair.de">www.atmosfair.de</a>                   |
| <b>Contact person</b>    | Mr. Kevin Möller   |
| <b>Title</b>             | Senior Project Manager   |



### **A.3. Summary of economic, social and environmental impacts of the Project**

Generally, the access to safe drinking water in many parts of the world is limited. If households have access to water, the water is often badly contaminated with chloride, fluoride, calcium, sodium, nitrate, bacteria and salt. This poses a great threat to the population. This project will support countries with limited access to safe drinking water worldwide to offer access to hygiene drinking water at an affordable price to its population. This project will contribute to the enhancement of socio-economic and environmental benefits of the community through the realization of the following Sustainable Development Goals:

#### **SDG 6: Clean water and sanitation**

- ***Target 6.1. By 2030, achieve universal and equitable access to safe and affordable drinking water for all.***

Traditionally, the countries targeted by the project are water insecure. If they are fortunate enough to have this scarce resource, the safety and affordability of this water and especially drinking water is mostly compromised by the many pollutants described by the project document. Besides the pollution, in cases of availability, equitability in access - both by distance and quality - are occasionally a huge challenge. Considering these challenges, the project will aim to ensure the achievement of equitable access to safe and affordable drinking water to the communities of the project area as on the first target of sustainable development goal 6.

- ***Indicator 6.1.1. Proportion of population using safely managed drinking water services***

While majority have always looked at water availability in the lenses of quality and quantity, one of the silent features largely overlooked in the water sector is its connection to public health. In Africa for instance, an estimated 842 000 people are estimated to die each year from diarrhoea as a result of unsafe drinking-water, sanitation and hand hygiene. This project shall therefore contribute to the safety of the communities by providing safe and clean water for drinking as on the indicator highlighted above.

#### **SDG 8: Decent work and economic growth**

- ***Target 8.5. By 2030, achieve full and productive employment and decent work for all women and men, including for young people and persons with disabilities, and equal pay for work of equal value.***

According to the Africa Development Bank<sup>1</sup>, nearly 420 million youth aged 15-35, one-third are unemployed and discouraged, another third are vulnerably employed, and only one in six is in wage employment. Youth face roughly double the unemployment rate of adults, with significant variation by country. The statistics is even worse in the people

---

<sup>1</sup> <https://www.afdb.org/en>

with disability and women. Even as these statistics are generalized to reflect the situation as is in Africa, the Kenya youth, people with disability and women and mostly from the Arid and Semi-Arid areas like Machakos face the double challenges of limited inclusion and lack of equity leading to increased unemployment rates.

In order to address this challenge, the project "Implementation and operation of water kiosks in Kenya" will create 2 permanent jobs in every water kiosk. In case the water kiosk includes an aquaponics system, the site can create up to 10 casual jobs in the fish- and fruit or vegetable farming section. Hence, the project contributes to achieving full and productive employment and decent work for all women and men, including for young people and persons with disabilities, and equal pay for work of equal value by 2030.

- ***Indicator 8.5.2. Unemployment rate, by sex, age and persons with disabilities***

According to the Africa Development Bank, nearly 420 million youth aged 15-35, one-third are unemployed and discouraged, another third are vulnerably employed, and only one in six is in wage employment. Youth face roughly double the unemployment rate of adults, with significant variation by country. The statistics is even worse in the people with disability and women. Even as these statistics are generalized to reflect the situation as is in Africa, the Kenya youth, people with disability and women and mostly from the Arid and Semi-Arid areas like Machakos face the double challenges of limited inclusion and lack of equity leading to increased unemployment rates.

In order to address this challenge, the project "Implementation and operation of water kiosks in Kenya" will create 2 permanent jobs in every water kiosk. In case the water kiosk includes an aquaponics system, the site can create up to 10 casual jobs in the fish- and fruit or vegetable farming section. Hence, the project improves the unemployment rate by sex, age and persons with disability.

## **SDG 13: Climate Action**

- ***Target 13.2. Integrate climate change measures into national policies, strategies and planning.***

Climate crisis is currently the force shaping the contemporary world<sup>2</sup>. What is worse, climate change impacts are already racking havoc not only on the anthropogenic livelihoods but also on the world's economies and country specific landscapes and budgets<sup>3</sup>. To be able to remedy this continuous challenge, technology both for mitigation (reducing carbon footprint) and human capacity for mitigation are much needed.

---

<sup>2</sup> Hoornweg, D. A., & Urban Research Symposium. (2011). Cities and climate change: Responding to an urgent agenda. Washington, D.C: World Bank

<sup>3</sup> Verner, D. (2010). Reducing poverty, protecting livelihoods, and building assets in a changing climate: Social implications of climate change in Latin America and the Caribbean. Washington, DC: World Bank



By displacing water boiling using non-renewable biomass and/or fossil-fuel as means of water purification with Solar-PV powered Reverse Osmosis water kiosks, the VPA reduces GHG emissions and thus, contributes to combat climate change. Ex-ante estimates for annual average of emission reductions for the first 5 years of Crediting Period 1: 3,997 tCO<sub>2</sub>e

#### **A.4. Other relevant information to help stakeholders understand the project**

**Type of Project:** Community Services. The Project implements several solar-PV Reverse Osmosis water kiosks to provide safe and affordable drinking water across Kenya.

**Location of Project:** The VPA is located across Kenya.

**Project Area, Project Boundary and Scale:** Republic of Kenya. The VPA shall not exceed the micro scale threshold.

**Host Country Requirements:** The VPA follows the host countries' legal, environmental, ecological and social regulations.

**Contact Details:** Contact details of atmosfair gGmbH, see Appendix 1.

**Legal Ownership:** atmosfair gGmbH has the legal ownership on the verified emission reduction based on the founding contract between atmosfair gGmbH and the project partner. The relevant part of the contract will be provided.

**Other Rights:** The project developers have all rights required to implement the projects and operate the plants including the land rights and building permits. No other rights are affected by the project implementation.

**Official Development Assistance Declaration:** No ODA is involved in the project funding.

**City/Town/Community:** Although the water kiosks under this VPA will be implemented in several places all over Kenya, the following regions will be covered predominantly in the Coast region, Machakos/Nairobi region and northern Kenya

#### **Physical/Geographical location:**



Latitude : 0° 10' 36.73" N  
Longitude: 37° 54' 29.98" E

Figure 1: Map of the Republic of Kenya as location of the VPA

**Technologies employed:** This Project Activity implements the water kiosk developed by Boreal Light GmbH. This technology introduces low GHG emitting water purification systems based on Reverse Osmosis to provide safe drinking water and displace water boiling using non-renewable biomass or fossil fuels. As public 24/7 water ATM, equipped with taps or as local water supply stations the water kiosks will provide safe and affordable drinking water to the end users. The technology is scalable coming in a variety of different capacity sizes depending on the needs of communities or institutions.

Reverse osmosis or RO is a filtration method that is used to remove ions and molecules from a concentrated solution (e.g. brackish water which has more salinity than freshwater, but not as much as seawater) by applying pressure to the solution on one side of a semipermeable membrane. The semipermeable membrane allows the passage of water, but not ions (e.g.,  $\text{Na}^+$ ,  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Cl}^-$ ) or larger molecules (e.g., glucose, urea, and bacteria). In reverse osmosis, pressure is exerted on the side with the concentrated solution to force the water molecules through the membrane to the freshwater side.

The reverse osmosis system of the water kiosks filters raw water, mostly brackish water to become drinking water.




Besides producing safe drinking water, the water kiosk may include an aquaponics system that combines fish farming and fruit and vegetable farming by a controlled water circulation between them. These water kiosks are able to provide drinking water that meet WHO water standards.





### Management system of the WaterKiosk



The technical scheme is a screen shot of the machine's management system. The flow of information is from left to right; raw water to drinking water. The sensors show the level of water raw water tank. The flow meters show the volume of water coming into the system as raw water, the next meter will show later the permeate water volume, recovery water volume and also drinking water volume. All of such information are vital for a transparent operation of the systems. Down the line you find the status of the 3 pumps; submersible pump, feed pump and booster pump. The electric details of the 3 pumps are also available on the upper bar of the screenshot. Such electric details give the best of system health control to the operators. Antiscalant tank, CIP tank, drinking water tanks are all the other water tanks knowing about their status help the operator managing the project more accurately. The lower bar shows you the TDS of drinking water going out of the system and the pressure of the tubes. Knowing about the

pressure of the tubes in such a system is as vital of knowing the blood pressure for the health of our body. Last but not least there you may see whether the disinfection reactors are active or not. When that is on, it means the outgoing water is bacteria and virus free

## SECTION B. INVITATIONS MADE TO STAKEHOLDERS

### B.1. Invitation tracking table

| Category code | Category  |
|---------------|---|
| A             | i. Local people impacted by the project or official representatives   |
| B             | Local policy makers and representatives of local authorities  |
| C             | National Government officials or official representative of the DNA of the host country of your project   |
| D             | Local non-governmental organisations working on topics relevant to your project   |
| E             | A Gold Standard representative at <a href="mailto:help@goldstandard.org">help@goldstandard.org</a>  |
| F             | Relevant international non-governmental organisations (NGOs) supporting the Gold Standard, with a representation in your region and ALL GS supporter NGOs located in the host country of the project. |

|   | NAME OF INVITEE  | FUNCTION             | MODE OF INVITATION | DATE OF INVITATION | CONFIRMATION RECIEVED (Y/N) |
|---|------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| A | Jane Muindi      | Community Member     | Phone              | 29.09.             | sms delivered               |
|   | Patrick Ngalama  | MCA, Machakos        | Phone              | 29.09.             | Confirmed                   |
|   | David Ochieng    | Community Member     | Phone              | 29.09.             | sms delivered               |
|   | Gilbert Gitamo   | Fish farmer (MACHA)  | Phone              | 29.09.             | sms delivered               |
|   | Angela Mueni     | Community Member     | Phone              | 29.09.             | whatsapp text               |
|   | Domitilah Muem   | Machakos County      | Phone              | 29.09.             | Confirmed                   |
|   | Jane Kamau       | Machakos Academy     | Phone              | 29.09.             | Confirmed                   |
|   | Lydia Kimondo    | Machakos Academy     | Phone              | 29.09.             | Confirmed                   |
|   | Dorcas Kagori    | Kajiado County       | Phone              | 29.09.             | Confirmed                   |
|   | Marion Karie     | Nairobi County       | Phone              | 29.09.             | Confirmed                   |
|   | Fredrick Mwaura  | Nairobi County       | Phone              | 29.09.             | Confirmed                   |
|   | Ndeto Ndunda     | Machakos County      | Phone              | 29.9.              | Confirmed                   |
|   | Lenox Adrian     | Machakos County      | Phone              | 29.09.             | Confirmed                   |
|   | John Wabore      | Machakos County      | Phone              | 29.09.             | Confirmed                   |
|   | Daniel Kunini    | Narok County         | Phone              | 29.09.             | Confirmed                   |
|   | Lucy Njambi      | Machakos County      | Phone              | 29.09.             | Confirmed                   |
|   | Joram Gitau      | Machakos             | Phone              | 29.09.             | Confirmed                   |
|   | Geoffrey Kidiga  | Nakuru County        | Phone              | 30.09.             | Confirmed                   |
|   | Simon Gacheru    | Machakos County- O   | Phone              | 29.09.             | Confirmed                   |
|   | Mary Kinyanjui   | Kiambu County        | Phone              | 29.09.             | Confirmed                   |
|   | Dr. Lanoi Parmou | Kajiado              | E-Mail             | 28.09.             |                             |
|   | Dr. Naomi Wang   | Nairobi              | E-Mail             | 28.09.             |                             |
|   | George Songe     | Machakos             | E-Mail             | 28.09.             |                             |
|   | Henry Achila     | Nairobi              | E-Mail             | 28.09.             |                             |
|   | Stephen Michind  | Nairobi              | E-Mail             | 28.09.             |                             |
|   | Ienny            |                      | E-Mail             | 28.09.             |                             |
|   | Mutie Kalei      | MD, Machakos Water   | Phone              | 29,09              | whatsapp text               |
|   | Eng. Martin Tsun | Coast Water services | Phone              | 29,09              | whatsapp text               |
|   | Wilson Cheruiyot | Coast Water services | E-Mail             | 28.09.             |                             |
|   | Ali Chalala      | Kwale County         | E-Mail             | 28.09.             |                             |
|   | Hellen Musyoka   | KEBS                 | Phone              | 29,09              | whatsapp text               |

|   |  |                     |        |        |               |
|---|--|---------------------|--------|--------|---------------|
| B | Hon Hemed Mw                             | County Water Minist | E-Mail | 28.09. |               |
|   | Mohamed Agma                             | MD, Wajiwasco       | E-Mail | 28.09. |               |
|   | Dr. David Wekes                          | Renewable Energy R  | E-Mail | 28.09. | Confirmed     |
|   | Dr. Churchill Sao                        | Renewable Energy R  | E-Mail | 28.09. | Confirmed     |
|   | Mr. Martin Ndet                          | Renewable Energy R  | E-Mail | 28.09. | Confirmed     |
|   | Benjamin Ongwe                           | Renewable Energy R  | E-Mail | 28.09. | Confirmed     |
|   | Patrick Nsengima                         | Renewable Energy R  | E-Mail | 28.09. | Confirmed     |
|   |  |                     |        |        |               |
| C | Susan Wanja                              | NEMA Officer        | Phone  | 29.09. | whatsapp text |
|   | Ministry of Water                        | Direcor National Wa | E-Mail | 28.09. |               |
|   | Ismael Fahmay                            | CEO, Water Services | E-Mail | 28.09. |               |
|   | Priscillar Kanyari                       | WTSE                | E-Mail | 28.09. |               |
|   |  |                     |        |        |               |
| D | Jaffer Foundation                        | Gulnar              | E-Mail | 28.09. |               |
|   | Coast Youth workers                      | Ali Mohammed        | Phone  | 29.09. | whatsapp text |
|   | Practical Action in Eastern Africa       | Mwangi              | Phone  | 29.09. |               |
|   | Infracore                                | Doris Muthami       | E-Mail | 28.09. |               |
|   | Incofin                                  | Kevin Kamemba       | E-Mail | 28.09. |               |
|   |  |                     |        |        |               |
| E | Gold Standard                            | Gold Standard       |        | 28.09. |               |
| F | World Vision                             | Joseph Egesa        | E-Mail | 28.09. |               |
|   | World Bank, Water sector                 | Joy Busolo          | E-Mail | 28.09. |               |
|   | Oxfam                                    | James Origa         | Phone  | 29.09. | whatsapp text |
|   | Kenya Climate Innovation Center          | Irene Nassuna       | E-Mail | 28.09. |               |
|   | Environment Liaison Centre International | John Kimani         | Phone  | 29.09. |               |
|   | FAO                                      | Michael Gitonga     | Phone  | 29.09. |               |
|   | Acacia Innovations                       | Elana Laichena      | E-Mail | 28.09. |               |
|   | Ahk- office Nairobi                      | Andrew Onyango      | E-Mail | 28.09. |               |
|   | Practical Action                         | Carol Gathu         | E-Mail | 28.09. |               |
|   | Water Mission                            | Douglas Lawson      | E-Mail | 28.09. |               |
|   | SNV                                      | Florence Kariuki    | E-Mail | 28.09. |               |
|   | MWA                                      | Kenneth O.          | E-Mail | 28.09. |               |
|   | MWA                                      | Job Kitetu          | E-Mail | 28.09. |               |
|   | SNV                                      | Tigere              | E-Mail | 28.09. |               |
|   | Turkana Basin                            | Acacia              | E-Mail | 28.09. |               |
|   | Kenya climate Venture                    | Victor ndege        | E-Mail | 28.09. |               |
|   | Kenya water innovation c                 | Grace ndegwa        | E-Mail | 28.09. |               |
|   | Water finance africa                     | Amadeep Kang        | E-Mail | 28.09. |               |
|   | Fund fix                                 | Cliff Nyaga         | E-Mail | 28.09. |               |
|   | Root one africa                          | James Mawa          | E-Mail | 28.09. |               |
|   | Save the children                        | Mukhtar Abdillahi   | E-Mail | 28.09. |               |

### B.1.1. Appropriateness of methods

Given the description of participants, WaterKiosks Limited in conjunction with atmosfair gGmbH used both, emails and phone calls, to reach to the participants as shown in the graphical representation below.




Table 1: Invitation modes to the local stakeholder consultation meeting in Machakos

As on the graph, majority of the participants from category A and B were invited through phone calls accounting to 43.9% while the rest of the categories were majorly invited through email addresses accounting to 56.1%. This was so as a result of the limited internet connectivity and accessibility by categories A and B and the opposite for categories C, D, E and F.

### B.1.2. Gender Sensitivity

WaterKiosk Limited and atmosfair gGmbH endeavoured to ensure gender representation during the invitation giving considerably many slots to the women of the targeted community as they are the most affected on matters water. As on the graphical representation below, the invitation targeted 42.4% and 57.6% male and female respectively.




Table 2: Gender description of the invited participants to the LSC



### B.1.3. Evidence proving invites took place as stated

#### Screenshot of invitation Email

**Kevin Möller**

**Von:** Kevin Möller <moeller@atmosfair.de>  
**Gesendet:** Montag, 28. September 2020 11:17  
**Cc:** 'Samuel'; 'Anna Uebachs'  
**Betreff:** Local Stakeholder Consultation for "Implementation and operation of Water Kiosks in Kenya"  
**Anlagen:** Invitation LSC\_atmosfair\_English\_Machakos.pdf; Invitation LSC Letter-Swahili Version-Machakos.pdf

[TAFADHALI PATA BARUA PEPE HII KWA KISWAHILI HAPA CHINI]

Dear Sir or Madam,

The initiators of the Project "Implementation and operation of Water kiosks in Kenya" would like to invite you to the Stakeholder Consultation Meeting in order to inform you about the project activity and the technology. The goal of this meeting is to discuss with local stakeholders all aspects of the project with clear focus on sustainability indicators and climate protection.

The project is an initiative by the Kenyan company WaterKiosk Limited and the German non-profit company atmosfair gGmbH to set up several WaterKiosks® in Kenya to deliver safe, reliable and affordable drinking water to communities. The WaterKiosks® using solar-PV powered Reverse Osmosis technology as proven mature technology. As public 24/7 water ATM, equipped with taps or as bottling station the water kiosks will provide up to 20.000 litres of drinking water per day in 20 litres re-filled bottles to the end users.

In order to receive feedback from you on these issues and to hear valuable suggestions and concerns, a Stakeholder Consultation Meeting is organized on

**Wednesday, October 28<sup>th</sup> 2020, 9:00am**

**Lysak Haven Park Hotel, Machakos**

We would greatly appreciate your presence (or of your representative) and active participation, to make the meeting a successful one. Kindly accept our invitation to attend and guide us in the meeting.

Please fill in the reply form and send it to [moeller@atmosfair.de](mailto:moeller@atmosfair.de) latest by October 19<sup>th</sup> 2020 to help us arrange logistics. Snacks and refreshment will be provided. If you are unable to participate, please feel free to comment on the Key Project Information.

Thank you very much.

Mpendwa Mheshimiwa au Madam,

Waanziishi wa mradi wa "Utekelezaji na uendeshaji wa vibanda vya maji katika Kenya" wangependa kuwakaribisha wadau katika Mkutano wa Ushauri, ili kutoa taarifa juu ya shughuli ya mradi na teknolojia. Lengo la mkutano huu ni kujadili na wadau wa ndani masuala yote ya mradi na mwelekeo sahihi wa viashiria endelevu na ulinzi wa hali ya hewa.

Mradi wa "Utekelezaji na uendeshaji wa vibanda vya maji katika Kenya" ni mpango na kampuni ya Kenya, WaterKiosk Limited na kampuni ya Kijerumani lisilo la kiserikali atmosfair gGmbH kuanzisha kadhaa WaterKiosks® katika Kenya kutoa salama, kuaminika na nafuu maji ya kunywa katika jamii. WaterKiosks® kutumia nishati ya jua na teknolojia ya 'Reverse Osmosis' kama teknolojia ya kuthibitika wazima. Kama ATM ya umma ya maji 24/7, iliyo na bomba au kama kituo cha chupa vibanda vya maji vitatoa hadi lita 20,000 za maji ya kunywa kwa siku katika chupa 20 zilizojazwa tena kwa watumiaji.

Ili kupata maoni kutoka kwenu juu ya masuala haya na kusikia mapendekezo thamani na wasiwasi, Wadau wote wakaribishwa kwa huu Mkutano wa Ushauri ambao umepangwa ifuatavyo:

**Jumatano, 28 Oktoba 2020, 9:00 Asubuhi**

**Lysak Haven Park Hotel, Machakos**

Tungeshukuru sana uwepo wako (au mwakilishi wako) na ushiriki wa dhati, kuufanya mkutano huo kufanikiwa. Kubali mwaliko wetu kuhudhuria na kutuongoza katika mkutano.

Tafadhali jaza fomu ya kujibu na utumie kwa [moeller@atmosfair.de](mailto:moeller@atmosfair.de) karibuni ifikapo 19 Oktoba 2020 kutusaidia kupanga vifaa. Vitafunio na kiburudisho vitatolewa. Ikiwa huwezi kushiriki, tafadhali jisikie huru kutoa maoni yako juu ya maelezo ya Mradi huu muhimu.

Asante sana.

**Kevin Möller M.A.**  
Senior Project Manager

think-go climate conscious  
**atmosfair**

atmosfair gGmbH - Zossener Str. 55-58 - 10961 Berlin  
Tel.: +49 30 12084 8064  
[moeller@atmosfair.de](mailto:moeller@atmosfair.de) - [www.atmosfair.de](http://www.atmosfair.de)

#### **B.1.4. Sample content of invites (for each Method above)**

See Section B.1.3.

#### **B.1.5. Description of other Means and methods to provide feedback for those who are not able to join the consultation meeting**

For the participants who were not able to join the local stakeholder consultation, WaterKiosk Limited in conjunction with atmosfair gGmbH involved a local radio station to invite stakeholders and also enable feedback by providing the email contact of the project manager for those who were not able to attend the physical meeting.

#### **Swahili version**

*"Waanzilishi wa mradi wa "Utekelezaji na uendeshaji wa vibanda vya maji katika Kenia" wangependa kuwakaribisha wadau katika Mkutano wa Ushauri, ili kutoa taarifa juu ya shughuli ya mradi na teknolojia.*

*Mradi wa "Utekelezaji na uendeshaji wa vibanda vya maji katika Kenia" ni mpango na kampuni ya Kenya, WaterKiosk Limited na kampuni ya Kijerumani lisilo la kiserikali atmosfair gGmbH kuanzisha kadhaa WaterKiosks® katika Kenia kutoa salama, kuaminika na nafuu maji ya kunywa katika jamii.*

*Lengo la mkutano huu ni kujadili na wadau wa ndani masuala yote ya mradi na mwelekeo sahihi wa viashiria endelevu na ulinzi wa hali ya hewa. Kubali mwaliko wetu kuhudhuria na kutuongoza katika mkutano.*

*Mkutano wa Ushauri umepangwa ifuatavyo: Jumatano, 28 Oktoba 2020, 9:00 Asubuhi katika Lysak Haven Park Hotel, Machakos."*

*"Tafadhali jaza fomu ya kujibu na utumie kwa [moeller@atmosfair.de](mailto:moeller@atmosfair.de) ifikapo 19 Oktoba 2020 ili kutusaidia kupanga vifaa."*

#### **Translation – English**

"The founders of implementation and operationalization of water kiosks in Kenya are pleased to invite stakeholders to a local stakeholder consultation to provide information regarding the project purpose and technology."



"The project; implementation and operationalization of water kiosks in Kenya; is a plan of a Kenyan company – *WaterKiosks limited* and a German based Non-Governmental Organization - *atmosfair gGmbH* – to start a number of a number of water kiosks in Kenya for purposes of safety, reliance and affordability in drinking water."

"The aim of this local stakeholder consultation meeting will be to discuss - with key stakeholders – all the issues pertaining to the project's goal and trajectory including designing key targets and sustainable indicators for environmental protection. Kindly accept our invitation to attend and guide during the meeting."

"The local stakeholder consultation meeting is planned for Wednesday 28<sup>th</sup> October 2020 from 9:00 am at Lysak Haven Park Hotel in Machakos."

"Please fill in the questionnaire and the forms provided and send to [moeller@atmosfair.de](mailto:moeller@atmosfair.de) by 19<sup>th</sup> October 2020 to help in the plans."

**The above was announced six (6) times from 22.10 - 27.10. 2020!**

## SECTION C. REPORT OF THE CONSULTATION PROCESS

### C.1. Date of Meeting

The meeting took place on 28<sup>th</sup> October 2020 at Lysak Haven Park Hotel in Machakos.

#### C.1.1. List of participants

think + go climate conscious  
**atmosfair**

**WaterKiosk**

**PARTICIPANTS REGISTRATION FORM**

---

**PROJECT NAME: "IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA"**

**NAME OF ACTIVITY: LOCAL STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION (LSC) FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA**

**VENUE: LYSAK HAVEN PARK HOTEL, MACHAKOS.**

**DATE: 28<sup>TH</sup> OCTOBER 2020**

| Name            | Group/ Organization | Position | F/M | Age (tick)   |              | Phone number | Email address             | Signature |
|-----------------|---------------------|----------|-----|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------------|-----------|
|                 |                     |          |     | Below 35 yrs | Above 35 yrs |              |                           |           |
| Caroline Mwangi | SCAT University     | DVCFA    |     |              | ✓            | 07221289     | caroline.mwangi@gmail.com |           |
| Mwami Kanyiza   | MSP US              | TR       |     |              |              | 0723702217   | Mwami.kanyiza@gmail.com   |           |
| Brian Kimondo   | Lysak               |          |     | ✓            |              | 0711200644   | brian.kimondo@gmail.com   |           |
| 'Ray Mwangi'    | Private             |          |     | ✓            |              | 072120057    | ray.mwangi@gmail.com      |           |
| Marion Mwangi   | Private             |          |     |              | ✓            | 0722627378   | marion.mwangi@gmail.com   |           |

| Name           | Group/ Organization | Position | F/M | Age (tick)    |               | Phone number | Email address         | Signature |
|----------------|---------------------|----------|-----|---------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------|
|                |                     |          |     | Below 35 yrs. | Above 35 yrs. |              |                       |           |
| Catherine Mute | Business            |          | F   | ✓             |               | 0724705248   | Rmutemung@gmail.com   |           |
| Dorcas Kayen   | Business            | F.A.     | F   | ✓             |               | 0727989350   | claygoe@business.com  |           |
| Lucy Wanjiku   | TOPHER              |          | F   | ✓             |               | 0717445989   | lucywanjiku@gmail.com |           |
| LENNOX Muto    | Value Energy        |          | M   |               | ✓             | 0715302903   | lennox7145@phar.com   |           |
| John Wabere    | Water Kiosk         |          | M   |               | ✓             | 072178786    | jo.wabere@gmail.com   |           |

|               |                        |          |   |    |   |            |                             |  |
|---------------|------------------------|----------|---|----|---|------------|-----------------------------|--|
| John Oupero   | Community              | member   | M | 22 |   | 0746701012 | John Lekwiri@gmail.com      |  |
| AUSTINE OUNDI | Community              | Member   | M | ✓  |   | 0725535745 | austineokoth@gmail.com      |  |
| Lydia Kimondo | Machakos Academy       | Director | F |    | ✓ | 0722877725 | LydiaKimondog@yahoo.com     |  |
| MARTIN NDERO  | JKUAT                  | STUDENT  | M | ✓  |   | 0711872138 | nderonm@gmail.com           |  |
| Lucy Patrick  | Machakos Kuyah Agrovet | Member   | F |    | ✓ | 0722404392 | Lucy Kariuki 2014@gmail.com |  |

| Name           | Group/ Organization | Position         | F/M | Age (tick)    |               | Phone number | Email address           | Signature |
|----------------|---------------------|------------------|-----|---------------|---------------|--------------|-------------------------|-----------|
|                |                     |                  |     | Below 35 yrs. | Above 35 yrs. |              |                         |           |
| Collins Othman | Atmosphere          | Consultant       | M   | ✓             |               | 07035532     | collinsothman@gmail.com |           |
| Samuel Kingari | Hotokoror           | Founder          | M   |               | ✓             | 0720913020   |                         |           |
| Leke Othman    | Broken              | P.A              | M   | ✓             |               | 077591211    | othmanleke@gmail.com    |           |
| Lyndee Annas   | MSP.D               | P.D              | F   |               | ✓             | 076515597    | lyndeeannas@gmail.com   |           |
| Maywa Wabere   | Private             | National Council | F   |               | ✓             | 07205572     | maywawabere@gmail.com   |           |

| Name            | Group/ Organization   | Position     | F/M | Age (tick)    |               | Phone number | Email address                | Signature |
|-----------------|-----------------------|--------------|-----|---------------|---------------|--------------|------------------------------|-----------|
|                 |                       |              |     | Below 35 yrs. | Above 35 yrs. |              |                              |           |
| NDETO NDUNDA    | MASAKU School for PIT | HEAD TEACHER | M   |               | ✓             | 0724325163   | masakuschoolforpit@gmail.com |           |
| Phares Nduniri  | Value Energy          | Member       | M   |               | ✓             | 0722472492   | pharesnduniri@gmail.com      |           |
| Damans Gathiti  | Community             | Member       | F   |               | ✓             | 0722230232   | damansgathiti@gmail.com      |           |
| Stephen Imangwa | Tanganyika            | Director     | M   |               | ✓             | 072210400    | stephenimangwa@gmail.com     |           |
| Daniel Ntele    | Sanitation & Lighting | Director     | M   |               | ✓             | 072040293    | danielntele@gmail.com        |           |

| Name              | Group/<br>Organization | Position   | F/M | Age (tick)       |                  | Phone number | Email address               | Signature |
|-------------------|------------------------|------------|-----|------------------|------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
|                   |                        |            |     | Below<br>35 yrs. | Above<br>35 yrs. |              |                             |           |
| Daniel Mbuga      | WIKIOSIC               | Operations | M   | —                |                  | 0721711546   | daniel.mbuga@gmail.com      |           |
| Henry Mbeyi       | WIKIOSIC               | Accounts   | M   | —                |                  | 0727911865   | henryachidi@gmail.com       |           |
| S.K. THE URI      | PASHA ENTERPRISES LTD. | DIRECTOR   |     |                  |                  | 0722311424   | pashaenterprises@yahoo.com  |           |
| Smith Kamukama    | KOKO                   | Director   | M   | ✓                |                  | 075917271    | smk@gmail.com               |           |
| Jonathan Chikwari | Consultant             | Consultant | M   | ✓                |                  | 074588550    | jonathan.chikwari@gmail.com |           |

| Name                | Group/<br>Organization | Position            | F/M | Age (tick)       |                  | Phone number | Email address                       | Signature |
|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----|------------------|------------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|
|                     |                        |                     |     | Below<br>35 yrs. | Above<br>35 yrs. |              |                                     |           |
| SARKE CHURCHILL     | ENERKEN                | Director            | M   |                  |                  | 0722 700886  | CHURCHILLSARKE@GMAIL.COM            |           |
| BENJAMIN ELMARD     | ENERKEN                | TECHNICAL ASSISTANT | M   | ✓                |                  | 0728632432   | benjamin.elmard@gmail.com           |           |
| PATRICK MBENGIIMBIA | ENERKEN                | Junior Consultant   | M   | ✓                |                  | 0742332728   | patrick@enerkenconsulting.com       |           |
| DAVID WECESIA       | ENERKEN                | Director            | M   | ✓                |                  | 0725037249   | david.wecesia@enerkenconsulting.com |           |
| Stephen Muthino     | OUTSOURCE RESOURCES    | Director            | M   |                  | ✓                | 0723835799   | sales@outsourceresources.com        |           |

Participants list for the Local stakeholder workshop (scanned copy)

### C.1.2. Pictures from physical meeting(s) (best practice)



Sample pictures during the local stakeholder consultation meeting at Lysak Haven Park Hotel in Machakos



## C.2. Minutes of physical meeting(s)

### **MINUTES OF THE LOCAL STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION MEETING HELD AT LYSAK HAVEN PARK HOTEL IN MACHAKOS COUNTY ON WEDNESDAY 28<sup>TH</sup> OCTOBER 2020 FROM 0900HRS TO 1500HRS.**

#### **Participants present**

The list of participants to the workshop/meeting is annexed on the report of the same.

#### **Agenda**

- |       |        |   |
|-------|--------|---|
| I.    | 09:00  | Arrival and signing of participant list                                 |
| II.   | 09:15  | Opening of the meeting, Agenda and introduction of participants         |
| III.  | 09:30  | Presentation of Key Project Information and demonstration of technology |
| IV.   | 10:30  | Time for questions  |
| V.    | 11:15  | Filling the KPI Questionnaire   |
| VI.   | 11:30  | Joint Sustainability Exercise   |
| VII.  | 12:00  | Lunch break   |
| VIII. | 13:00  | Safeguarding Principles   |
| IX.   | 13:45  | Input and Grievance mechanism   |
| X.    | 14:00  | Final Questions and Comments, Filling of Evaluation Forms               |
| XI.   | 14: 45 | Closure of the Meeting  |

| Agenda                                  | Discussion/Action  | Action points (if any)  |
|---|--|---|
| Arrival and signing of participant list | Stakeholders arrived at the meeting venue as on the programme, signed the participants and settled for the meeting | ➤ There being no action point on the agenda item, no individual was tasked with any deliverable |

|  |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| <p>Opening of the meeting, Agenda and introduction of participants</p> | <p>The stakeholders, having introduced themselves, the session on explanation of the agenda and introduction of atmosfair took over as below;</p> <p><b>Introduction to atmosfair – by Collins Oduor (atmosfair)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- atmosfair develops, funds, and manages renewable energy projects in the global South in order to decrease CO2 emissions and, simultaneously, promote sustainable development in host communities</li> <li>- Ranked No. 1 in all vendor benchmarks with highest quality standards</li> <li>- Financed by voluntary donations from consumers and corporations</li> <li>- Our approach to credible climate protection: mitigate – reduce – compensate</li> <li>- Our services for carbon footprint reduction: consulting – reporting software – mitigation projects</li> <li>- Solid scientific methodology: founded as a spin-off of a research project of the Federal Ministry for the Environment in 2004</li> </ul> | <p>➤ There being no action point on the agenda item, no individual was tasked with any deliverable</p> |
|--|--|--|

- Ongoing supervision by advisory board consisting of representatives of the German Federal Ministry for the Environment and NGOs

#### **Implementation and operation of water kiosk**

- atmosfair will finance the Programme of implementation and operation of water kiosks
- Water kiosks using solar-PV powered Reverse Osmosis technology to deliver safe, reliable and affordable drinking water
- Working together with different project developers
- Registration of the program under the Gold Standard Foundation

#### **Knorr-Bremse Group and Knorr-Bremse Global Care – as a partner in the project**

- Knorr-Bremse Group, based in Munich, is the world's leading manufacturer of braking systems and a leading supplier of safety-critical sub-systems for rail and commercial vehicles
- Knorr-Bremse Global Care is being sponsored by the Knorr-Bremse Group and finances development cooperation projects all over the world

|   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
|   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– We are proud to be part of this great programme and to provide safe water to the people in Kenya</li> <li>– The project will be accompanied by an <b>impact study</b> that tracks the effects and impacts of access to safe drinking water on the beneficiaries. Such will include questions like;</li> <li>– What are the direct effects (i.e. the reduction of waterborne diseases)?</li> <li>– Does the interventions allow for a better outlook of children's education?</li> <li>– Is there an effect of time saved by not having to walk long distances for getting water?</li> </ul> |  |
| Presentation of Key Project Information and demonstration of technology | <p><b>Presented by Samuel Kinyanjui – WaterKiosk Limited</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– In his presentation, Samuel sought to introduce WaterKiosk in sync with the presentation of Collins in an effort to show the complementarity of the organizations and institutions on the project.</li> <li>– Consequently, he mentioned to the stakeholders that the WaterKiosk Limited is a registered company in Kenya with the focus on working closely with communities and the</li> </ul>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ There being no action point on the agenda item, no individual was tasked with any deliverable afterwards</li> </ul> |

|  |   |  |
|--|---|--|
|  | <p>partners including atmosfair in the provision of clean affordable and secure water.</p> <p><b>Contextualizing the water challenge – Mr. Samuel Kinyanjui</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Mr. Kinyanjui, in contextualizing the water challenge to the stakeholders mentioned that with the impact of climate change, Kenya and Africa at large is at cross roads in regards to the availability of water</li> <li>– This, Mr. Kinyanjui explained that was in the fronts of access (both in distance and cost) and quantity</li> <li>– Further, Mr. Kinyanjui explained that according to a research done in key Kenyan water retailers including the large supermrkets like Chandarana, Naivas, Nakumat and other retailers, the average cost of clean drinking water was not less than USD 4 (Kshs. 400) for 20 liters requiring urgent action to save communities from water poverty</li> <li>– Following the expenses and the need to relieve the communities off the high cost while maximizing in reduced community carbon footprint especially those in the arid and semi arid lands, Mr. Kinyanjui, through the WaterKiosk Limited in partnership with atmosfair and</li> </ul> |  |
|--|---|--|



|                    |   |  |
|--------------------|---|--|
|                    | <p>Borelight and in the implementation and operation of water kiosks project; aimed at providing clean secure and safe drinking water for not more than ten cents of a dollar (10 kenyan shillings per 20 liters) and symplest maintainance system that can easily be managed by a hand spanner and screw driver.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Beyond the technology, Mr. Kinyanjui explained to the meeting and the stakeholders that the system is designed to promoted circular economy, social business in a holistic approach containing hydroponics etc. to boost societal economic wellbeing.</li> <li>– While Machakos is one of the project areas, the project has a target of establishing 40 water desalination units in 2020 with a few having been established in Lamu and Kilifi. Through the establishments, about <b>115 direct quality jobs</b> and <b>300 value added jobs</b> were established. The system; Mr. Kinyanjui explained to the stakeholders; come with <b>Electro-mobility for Water Distribution</b></li> </ul> |  |
| Time for questions | <b>Moderated by Collins Otieno - atmosfair</b>  |  |

|  |  |   |
|--|--|---|
|  | <p>The following questions were asked by the stakeholders</p> <p><b>1. Backup plan for the solar/off the grid green renewable energy in case of poor weather conditions</b></p> <p>Following the presentation by Mr. Kinyanjui, one of the stakeholders (Mr. Lenox Mwalo) asked the backup plan for the off the grid solar energy in case of weather conditions. In response, Mr. Kinyanjui explained as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b>Power storage</b> – with the advancement of technology and the observation of the systems over the past years, the systems come within build batteries that allow for storage of power for process and pumping in the event of bad weather conditions.</li> <li>- <b>Advanced storage</b> – in the event that the systems have no back up batteries, the storage systems are majorly advanced to accommodate more than one tank. However caution is taken to ensure that the water stored is not contaminated.</li> </ul> <p><b>2. Membranes maintenance</b></p> <p>Mr. Lenox Mwalo from Value energy sought to understand the technic used by WaterKiosk for the maintenance of the</p> | <p>➤ There being no action point on the agenda item, no individual was tasked with any deliverable afterwards</p> |
|--|--|---|

membranes and how long it would take for the replacement of the membranes. His questions were answered by Mr. Kinyanjui as follows;

- The maintenance of the membranes is done through Cleaning In Place (CIP) method. This method involved reversing the flow of water to ensure the water runs against the orientation of the membranes
- For the replacement of the same, Mr. Kinyanjui informed the stakeholders that the membranes would serve a period of 3 – 5 years before any replacement

### **3. Potential of sharing a borehole**

Having explained that the borehole cost was not part of the system and the system would only be installed in case the local partner a borehole, Ms. Lucy sought to understand whether the project allowed for local partners to share a borehole. This was welcomed by Mr. Kinyanjui who explained that this was upon a mutual agreement at the local level but not involving the WaterKiosk with Mr. Kinyanjui giving an example of the Voi wherein the local partner only lost the opportunity to use solar pumping given that the borehole is far from the local partner.

### **4. The actual cost of the project**

Dr. Saoke, following the discussions on the system, sought to understand the full cost of the project less that of the borehole considering the need to recover the cost of the establishment in community or individual lands. Mr. Kinyanjui then informed the stakeholders that the cost of the project was dependent on other factors such as the analysis of the area's water amongst other factors.

**5. Certification terms, conditions and costs**

Given the involvement of the Kenya Bureau of Standards (KEBS), Mr. Theuri of PASHA sought to understand the partner responsible for the additional costs including those of continuous quality assessments, branding etc. On this, Mr. Kinyanjui informed the stakeholders that in any case a local partner entered into a partnership with WaterKiosk, the registration of the WaterKiosk applied and therefore WaterKiosk bearing the costs of certification and quality assurance.

**6. The origin of the machines and the solar systems –  
Dr. Wekesa**

With the presentation on the machines and the systems of the water kiosk, Dr. Wekesa; one of the stakeholders sought to

|  |  |  |
|--|--|--|
|  | <p>understand the origin of the machines and the solar systems for the implementation and operation of the water kiosks.</p> <p>The questions was answered as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>Solar system</i> – originated from China but local assembling could be arranged</li> <li>- <i>The desalination machines</i> – German origin</li> </ul> <p><b>7. Consideration of handing over the project to the community</b></p> <p>Following the broader discussion on terms and conditions to the implementation and operation of the water kiosks, Mr. Ndeto Ndunda sought to understand whether there was a possibility of the WaterKiosk Limited, atmosfair and Boreal Light handing over the water kiosk to the community partner after the final year of the contract. Consequently, Mr. Kinyanjui explained to the stakeholders that this was purely dependent on the decision by the partners as on the final term of the lease and contract agreement, the business model of the project and the machines are left to the partner. Even so, given the need for maintenance and licensing, majority of partners have opted to sign with the WaterKiosk for as long as they mutually cooperate.</p> |  |
|--|--|--|

## **8. Types of filters and percentage of waste water recovered – Mr. Patrick**

Following the discussions on the filters of the system, Mr. Patrick of ENERKEN sought to understand the types of filters in the system alongside the percentage of waste water recovered to qualify the system for circular economy. In his response, Mr. Kinyanjui explained as follows;

### ***Types of filters in the system***

- Small filter
- Carbon filter
- Ultraviolet filter – to ensure no algae goes into the membranes

### ***Waste water recovered***

- Between 80-85% of the waste water recovered
- 15% of the waste water used in hydroponics if the system is installed for such.

## **9. Decision on the project areas**

With Mr. Kinyanjui explaining the intention to establish 40 water kiosks by 2020 and that 17 of the 40 having been established, the stakeholders sought to understand the decision on the project area amongst the identified ASAL areas. Onto this, Mr.

|                               |   |  |
|-------------------------------|---|--|
|                               | <p>Kinyanjui explained to the stakeholders that the project had identified Machakos alongside other areas to establish the water kiosks. However, other factors like the availability of the borehole are determinant factors for the establishment of WaterKiosks.</p> <p><b>10. Size of land needed for the project</b></p> <p>With project seemingly involving installation of tanks and the machines, Dr. Saoke sought to understand the size – dimensions of land required for the installation of the whole components including the machines and the tank. In response, Mr. Kinyanjui explained to the stakeholders that the project required not more than 5m by 6m piece of land</p> |  |
| Filling the KPI Questionnaire | <p><b>Moderated by Collins Otieno – atmosfair</b></p> <p>Stakeholders having been provided with and presented for the key project information, a questionnaire was provided to determine their understanding of the project components and execution. The questionnaires, having been duly filled, were collected by Mr. Collins Oduor for analysis, scanning and report writing.</p>   | <p><b>Collins</b> to analyze the Key Project Information questionnaires and use the same for the development of the report</p> |
|                               |   |  |

|                                      |  |  |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| <p>Joint Sustainability Exercise</p> | <p><b>Moderated by Collins Otieno – atmosfair</b></p> <p>Presentation and group work on sustainability exercise took place with stakeholders identifying the contribution of the project to accelerated realization of the aspirations of the global goals as below;</p> <p><b><u>SDG 1: No poverty</u></b></p> <p>According to the stakeholders, the reduced prices the project provides to the households to access clean, secure and quality water will help the households eliminate the water poverty thereby contributing partially to the realization of the first SDG hence a positive impact.</p> <p><b>Indicator</b> - reduced number people living below the poverty line in the project areas</p> <p><b><u>SDG 2: Zero hunger</u></b></p> <p>According the stakeholders, the system of the WaterKiosk, especially that with the hydroponics is well designed to enhance food production. This will therefore imply that communities are able to increase their harvest (given that Kenya is dependent on</p> | <p><b>Collins</b> to analyze the Information provided by the groups and use the same for the development of the report</p> |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|



rain fed Agriculture) hence a positive impact of the project on the SDG.

**Indicator** - increased agricultural produce and reduced deaths as a result of malnutrition in the project areas

**SDG 3: Good health and wellbeing**

According to the stakeholders, the project was projected to improve the community health and well-being by a great percentage given the number of deaths and illnesses arising from contaminated water. With the project providing safe water for drinking, the project shall ensure that such numbers are reduced for the wellness of the communities.

**Indicator** - reduced mortalities from water related illnesses.

**SDG 4: Quality Education**

According to the stakeholders handling the impact of the project on quality education, performance of school going children had declined following the time spent by such children in finding this limited yet very valuable resource – water. With the water provided, the stakeholders foresaw children saving time to spend for their studies.

**Indicator** – improved performance in the schools around the project areas

**SDG 5: Gender equality**

The communities surrounding the project areas were found to be majorly patriarchal by the stakeholders handling the SDG. Consequently, the women were found to be majorly involved in household chores involving the use of water. With the limited access to water, many a times, gender based violence had sprouted. With the project solving water access challenge, gender based violence is expected to be suppressed.

**Indicator** – reduced gender based violence in the project area

**SDG 6: Clean Water and Sanitation**

The project designed to provide water so this SDG will be accomplished through the project directly.

**Indicator** – improved access to water – both distance and cost reduction – in the project area

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <p><b><u>SDG 7: Affordable and clean energy</u></b></p> <p>With the systems using solar panels, the project was identified by stakeholders to contribute towards enhanced adoption of affordable and clean energy.</p> <p><b>Indicator</b> – enhanced/improved adoption of affordable and clean energy</p><br><p><b><u>SDG 8: Decent work and economic growth</u></b></p> <p>With the explanation in the KPI that the project contributes towards creating both direct and indirect jobs and mostly for women, the stakeholders established that the project shall contribute towards creation of decent work and economic growth.</p> <p><b>Indicator</b> – improved (number) direct and indirect jobs created in the project areas by the project</p><br><p><b><u>SGG 9: Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure</u></b></p> <p>With the project; characterized by development of innovative infrastructure to provide water and contribute to employment and economic development, the stakeholders established that it would contribute towards attainment of SDG 9 positively.</p> |  |
|---|--|

**Indicator** – improved economic development of the project areas

**SDG 10: Reduced inequalities**

The stakeholders identified the project to contribute towards reducing inequalities through providing opportunities for women employment and contribution towards the realization of the sustainable development goals.

**Indicator** – number (improved) of vulnerable and marginalized women involved in the process to contribute to the realization of the SDG.

**SDG 11: Sustainable cities and communities**

With Machakos being a satellite town to Nairobi city, the challenge of urban sprawl has seen a lot of pressure exerted in the water resources amongst other resources. With the project aiming to provide water cheaply to the communities of Machakos, the stakeholders identified the project to help positively towards the accomplishment of the 11<sup>th</sup> SDG.

**Indicator** – reduced pressure on water resources of Machakos town.

**SDG 12: Responsible Consumption and Production**

The stakeholders identified that with the hydroponics and the solar panels, while the system will be producing water for the communities, it will also contribute to reducing carbon footprint and providing food to the communities hence contributing positively to consumption and production.

**Indicator** – reduced environmental destruction in the project areas

**SDG 12: Climate action**

With the solar panels and electro-mobiles for distribution of water in the communities, the stakeholders identified the project to contribute towards reducing carbon emissions

**Indicator** – improved air quality, improved health, improved environments and community wellbeing and resilience

*While the rest of the SDGs were not directly linked to the project, stakeholders agreed that the project would contribute in a way in their accomplishment*

|                               |  |   |
|-------------------------------|--|---|
| Safeguarding Principles       | <p><b><i>Moderated by Collins – atmosfair</i></b></p> <p>The following safeguarding principles of the project were explained to the stakeholders by Collins</p> <p><b><i>Social and Economic Safeguarding Principles:</i></b></p> <p>Principle 1: Human Rights</p> <p>Principle 2: Gender Equality and Women's Rights</p> <p>Principle 3: Community Health, Safety and Working Conditions</p> <p>Principle 4: Cultural Heritage, Indigenous Peoples, Displacement and Resettlement</p> <p>Principle 5: Corruption</p> <p>Principle 6: Economic Impacts</p> <p><b><i>Environmental and Ecological Safeguarding Principles:</i></b></p> <p>Principle 7: Climate and Energy</p> <p>Principle 8: Water</p> <p>Principle 9: Environment, ecology and land use</p> | <p>➤ There being no action point on the agenda item, no individual was tasked with any deliverable afterwards</p> |
| Input and Grievance mechanism | <p><b><i>Moderated by Collins – atmosfair</i></b></p> <p>Stakeholders were taken through the input and grievance mechanism by Collins as explained in section D of the report.</p>   | <p>➤ There being no action point on the agenda item, no individual was tasked with any deliverable afterwards</p> |

|   |   |   |
|---|---|---|
|   |   |   |
| Final Questions and Comments, Filling of Evaluation Forms | <b>Moderated by Collins Otieno – atmosfair</b><br>Stakeholders having been provided with and presented for the key project information alongside the sustainability exercise and input and grievance mechanisms, a questionnaire was provided to determine evaluate the whole consultation. The questionnaires, having been duly filled, were collected by Mr. Collins Oduor for analysis, scanning and report writing. | ➤ <b>Collins</b> to analyze the questionnaires and use the same for the development of the report |
| Closure of the Meeting                                    | Without being any other business or questions from the stakeholders, the meeting ended at 3:00 pm   |   |




### C.3. Assessment of comments from all consultations above

Please complete the table below


| Gender Stakeholder | of Stakeholder comment   | Was taken account (Yes/No)? | comment into (Yes/No)? | Explanation (Why? How?)                        |
|--------------------|--|-----------------------------|------------------------|--|
| Male               | Backup plan for the solar/off the grid green renewable energy in case of poor weather conditions | Yes                         |                        | The question was addressed (see section C.2.). |
| Male               | Membranes maintenance  | Yes                         |                        | The question was addressed (see section C.2.). |
| Male               | Types of filters and percentage of waste water recovered   | Yes                         |                        | The question was addressed (see section C.2.). |
| Female             | Potential of sharing a borehole  | Yes                         |                        | The question was addressed (see section C.2.). |
| Male               | Size of land needed for the project  | Yes                         |                        | The question was addressed (see section C.2.). |

#### C.3.1. Evaluation forms (best practice)



Having administered the local stakeholder evaluation forms (see Annex 1), the data were input onto the statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) and analysed based on the variables as follows;





As presented by the graphical representations, the meeting had 60% and 40% women and men respectively. Out of the stakeholders, 5% averagely understood the objective of the project while 95% understood the objective well. Similarly, as underscored in the graphs below, 20% of the stakeholders averagely understood the implementation structure of the WaterKiosks while 80% understood the structure satisfactorily.



In the view of the social economic and environmental impacts of the project, about 16% understood the same averagely while 84% understood the impacts satisfactorily according to the analyzed questionnaires.




After administering the Key Project Information questionnaires, none of the participants had any objection to the project as all the stakeholders (100%) expressed their satisfaction with the project thereby affirming the NEMA no objection letter to the project.




As regards the facilitator for the workshop, 20% felt the facilitator did a good job while 80% felt the facilitator was excellent as his work.

Did the activity use the appropriate duration in relation to the subject




Inclusion of key stakeholders concerned with the subject matter




As shared by the facilitator at the start of the workshop, 17% felt the agenda was well covered in the time as stipulated while 83% felt the same was done excellently. On the other hand the stakeholders (20%) felt the activity did good mobilization of key stakeholders while 80% felt it was excellent hence the success.


Did the meeting meet its objective



Organization of the LSC



All the participants felt the LSC met its intended objective and was organized well as represented on the graphs above.




As regards understanding the project's work plan and time table for the implementation and operation of the water kiosks in Kenya, about 40% gave an excellent score, 39% score to good and 21% average score. While there was disparity in understanding, no stakeholder expressed lack of understanding

of the same having gone through the Key Project Information.

#### C.4. Summary of alterations based on comments

No stakeholder expressed any alterations into the project as shown on the graph below;



## SECTION D. CONTINUOUS INPUT / GRIEVANCE MECHANISM

|  | Method chosen  | Justification  |
|--|--|--|
| Continuous Input and Grievance Expression Process Book | Location WaterKiosk Limited:<br>- Grievance and warranty report forms<br>- Grievance register book   | The grievance expression book will be made available for WaterKiosk Limited in Nairobi. The templates for the comments will be available in English and Kiswahili.   |
| Telephone access                                       | English and Kiswahili:<br>Contact WaterKiosk Limited: Samuel Kinyanjui – 0720 913 020<br><br>Contact Boreal Light GmbH?<br>Hamed Beheshti +49 (0) 176 70924068<br><br>Contact atmosfair: Collins Otieno - 0701 355 362<br><br>English:<br>Mr. Kevin Möller: +49 (0) 30 1208480-64<br><br>The Gold Standard Foundation: +41 (0) 22 788 7080 | Stakeholders can reach project staff members who speak English and Kiswahili via the given phone number. All comments received via phone calls will be registered and archived by the project coordinator in the same way as in the grievance expression process book. |
| Internet / email access                                | Contact WaterKiosk Limited: Mr. Samuel Kinyanjui: kinyanjui@winture.de<br><br>Contact atmosfair: Mr. Kevin Möller: moeller@atmosfair.de<br><br>The Gold Standard Foundation: info@goldstandard.org   | Stakeholders who have an own E-Mail account can directly write their comments.   |

## SECTION E. STAKEHOLDER FEEDBACK ROUND

☐ Please check this box if the project is retroactive and has done only 1 consultation with a physical meeting integrated into the SFR.

### E.1. Length of the Feedback Round

| Stakeholder Feedback Round |                                | Planned                             | Actual                   |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Start Date                 | 25 <sup>th</sup> November 2020 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| End Date                   | 25 <sup>th</sup> January 2020  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

### E.2. Summarise how all stakeholders were/will be invited to provide feedback

The Stakeholder Consultation Report will be uploaded to the atmosfair website, where it will be publicly accessible. Attendees of the physical meeting as well as stakeholders who could not attend the meeting (e.g. GS NGO supporters) will be informed via email.

### E.3. Summarise Feedback received, including if any changes in project design were made

>>



## Revision History

| Version | Date           | Remarks  |
|---------|----------------|--|
| 1.1     | x October 2020 | <p>Inclusion of Key Project Information</p> <p>Restructure, new headings and reorder to better match the steps a developer will follow in consultations.</p> <p>Removal of some non-mandatory template tables (Blind Sustainable Development Assessment). Clarification of best practice steps that are non mandatory processes, clarification of mandatory discussion points. Clarification regarding publishing names and that original evaluation forms (optional) and attendance lists (mandatory) should be separate documents.</p> <p>Improved clarity on Stakeholder Feedback round section and procedures for retroactive projects</p> <p>Provision of an <a href="#">accompanying Guide</a> to help the user understand detailed rules and requirements</p> |
| 1.0     | 14 August 2017 | Initial adoption   |

## Annex 1: Local Stakeholder Evaluation Forms (scanned copies)

think • go climate conscious  
atmosfair

WaterKiosk®

### FEEDBACK AND EVALUATION FORM

PROJECT NAME: "IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA"

NAME OF ACTIVITY: LOCAL STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION (LSC) FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA

VENUE: LYSAK HAVEN PARK HOTEL, MACHAKOS

DATE: 28<sup>TH</sup> OCTOBER 2020

Name of Respondent  
(Optional).....






Sex: ☐ Male

☒ Female

Age: ☒ Less than 35

☐ More than 35

#### SECTION I: GENERAL APPRAISAL OF ACTIVITY/MEETING (*kindly tick*)

| On a general scale, the spectator evaluated the activity        | Very unsatisfying<br> | Unsatisfying<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| Organization of the activity                                    |  |   |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   |  |
| Content of the activity   |  |   |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   |  |
| Facilitator   |  |   |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   |  |
| Interaction between participants and facilitators               |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   |   |  |
| Appropriate duration of the activity in relation to the subject |  |   |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   |  |
| Inclusion of key stakeholders concerned with the subject matter |  |   |   |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |

#### SECTION II: OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITY'S CONTENT

1. In your opinion, did this event meet its set out objectives as on the agenda?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Kindly explain your answer

I got to understand the project description and design and how I can partner. I got the contacts and I will engage.






### SECTION III: OVERVIEW OF THE PROJECT

1. What do you like about the project?

The Impact it is having on the community - that is the larger community is benefiting.

2. What don't you like about the project?

### SECTION IV: MEASUREMENT OF CHANGE (kindly tick)

| In your opinion, how would you rate the level of;   | Very Poor   | Poor   | Average   | Good  | Excellent   |
|---|---|--|---|---|---|
|   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Your knowledge and understanding of the project   |   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   |   |
| Your understanding on the project's role in the implementation and accelerated realization of the aspirations of SDGs |   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   |   |

THANK YOU!

**FEEDBACK AND EVALUATION FORM**

PROJECT NAME: "IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA"

NAME OF ACTIVITY: LOCAL STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION (LSC) FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA

VENUE: LYSAK HAVEN PARK HOTEL, MACHAKOS






DATE: 28<sup>TH</sup> OCTOBER 2020

Name of Respondent  
(Optional).....

Sex: ☐ Male ☒ Female

Age: ☐ Less than 35 ☒ More than 35

**SECTION I: GENERAL APPRAISAL OF ACTIVITY/MEETING (kindly tick)**

| On a general scale, the spectator evaluated the activity:       | Very unsatisfying<br> | Unsatisfying<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| Organization of the activity                                    |  |   |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   |  |
| Content of the activity   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   |  |
| Facilitator   |  |   |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   |  |
| Interaction between participants and facilitators               |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   |   |  |
| Appropriate duration of the activity in relation to the subject |  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   |   |   |  |
| Inclusion of key stakeholders concerned with the subject matter |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   |   |  |

**SECTION II: OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITY'S CONTENT**

1. In your opinion, did this event meet its set out objectives as on the agenda?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Kindly explain your answer

We have understood its impact of the project to various communities particularly in terms of its objectives well brought out.

### SECTION III: OVERVIEW OF THE PROJECT






1. What do you like about the project?

The production of water and selling or monetizing at affordable prices

2. What don't you like about the project?

The project - tentative time table - this can be done fully to benefit generations and cover under cost.

### SECTION IV: MEASUREMENT OF CHANGE (kindly tick)

| In your opinion, how would you rate the level of;   | Very Poor<br> | Poor<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Your knowledge and understanding of the project   |  |   |  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   |  |
| Your understanding on the project's role in the implementation and accelerated realization of the aspirations of SDGs |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |   |  |

THANK YOU!



think • go climate conscious

atmosfair

WaterKiosk®

### FEEDBACK AND EVALUATION FORM

PROJECT NAME: "IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA"

NAME OF ACTIVITY: LOCAL STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION (LSC) FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA

VENUE: LYSAK HAVEN PARK HOTEL, MACHAKOS

DATE: 28<sup>TH</sup> OCTOBER 2020

Name of Respondent  
(Optional).....






Sex: ☐ Male

☒ Female

Age: ☐ Less than 35

☒ More than 35

#### SECTION I: GENERAL APPRAISAL OF ACTIVITY/MEETING (*kindly tick*)

| On a general scale, the spectator evaluated the activity:       | Very unsatisfying<br> | Unsatisfying<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| Organization of the activity                                    |  |   |   | ✓   |  |
| Content of the activity   |  |   |   | ✓   |  |
| Facilitator   |  |   |   |   | ✓  |
| Interaction between participants and facilitators               |  |   |   | ✓   |  |
| Appropriate duration of the activity in relation to the subject |  |   |   |   | ✓  |
| Inclusion of key stakeholders concerned with the subject matter |  |   |   |   | ✓  |

#### SECTION II: OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITY'S CONTENT



1 In your opinion, did this event meet its set out objectives as on the agenda?

☒ Yes

☐ No






Kindly explain your answer

### SECTION III: OVERVIEW OF THE PROJECT

1. What do you like about the project?

2. What don't you like about the project?

### SECTION IV: MEASUREMENT OF CHANGE (kindly tick)

| In your opinion, how would you rate the level of,   | Very Poor<br> | Poor<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| Your knowledge and understanding of the project   |  |  |  | ✓   |  |
| Your understanding on the project's role in the implementation and accelerated realization of the aspirations of SDGs |  |  |  | ✓   |  |

THANK YOU!

**FEEDBACK AND EVALUATION FORM**

PROJECT NAME: "IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA"

NAME OF ACTIVITY: LOCAL STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION (LSC) FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA

VENUE: LYSAK HAVEN PARK HOTEL, MACHAKOS






DATE: 28<sup>TH</sup> OCTOBER 2020

Name of Respondent  
(Optional).....

Sex: ☒ Male ☐ Female

Age: ☒ Less than 35 ☐ More than 35

**SECTION I: GENERAL APPRAISAL OF ACTIVITY/MEETING (kindly tick)**

| On a general scale, the spectator evaluated the activity        | Very unsatisfying<br> | Unsatisfying<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Organization of the activity                                    |  |   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |
| Content of the activity   |  |   |  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   |  |
| Facilitator   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |   |  |
| Interaction between participants and facilitators               |  |   |  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   |  |
| Appropriate duration of the activity in relation to the subject |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   |  |
| Inclusion of key stakeholders concerned with the subject matter |  |   |  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   |  |

**SECTION II: OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITY'S CONTENT**

conscious  
atmosfair

WaterKiosk®

1. In your opinion, did this event meet its set out objectives as on the agenda?
- ☒ Yes ☐ No

Kindly explain your answer

This is because they have already did some projects in the country so far.






### SECTION III: OVERVIEW OF THE PROJECT

1. What do you like about the project?

⇒ The project is very organised.

2. What don't you like about the project?

### SECTION IV: MEASUREMENT OF CHANGE (kindly tick)

| In your opinion, how would you rate the level of,   | Very Poor<br> | Poor<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Your knowledge and understanding of the project   |  |   | ✓  |   |  |
| Your understanding on the project's role in the implementation and accelerated realization of the aspirations of SDGs |  |   |  | ✓   |  |

THANK YOU!

**FEEDBACK AND EVALUATION FORM**

PROJECT NAME: "IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA"

NAME OF ACTIVITY: LOCAL STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION (LSC) FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA

VENUE: LYSAK HAVEN PARK HOTEL, MACHAKOS

DATE: 28<sup>TH</sup> OCTOBER 2020

Name of Respondent  
(Optional).....

CHARITTE SAKLE






Sex: ☒ Male

☐ Female

Age: ☐ Less than 35

☐ More than 35

**SECTION I: GENERAL APPRAISAL OF ACTIVITY/MEETING (kindly tick)**

| On a general scale, the spectator evaluated the activity        | Very unsatisfying<br> | Unsatisfying<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Organization of the activity                                    |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Content of the activity   |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Facilitator   |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Interaction between participants and facilitators               |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Appropriate duration of the activity in relation to the subject |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Inclusion of key stakeholders concerned with the subject matter |  |   |  |   | ✓  |

**SECTION II: OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITY'S CONTENT**

1. In your opinion, did this event meet its set out objectives as on the agenda?

☒ Yes



No

Kindly explain your answer

The presentation was very concise and clear

### SECTION III: OVERVIEW OF THE PROJECT






1. What do you like about the project?

How the technology has been made adoptible and is easy to use.

2. What don't you like about the project?

N/A

### SECTION IV: MEASUREMENT OF CHANGE (kindly tick)

| In your opinion, how would you rate the level of,   | Very Poor<br> | Poor<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| Your knowledge and understanding of the project   |  |   |   |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |
| Your understanding on the project's role in the implementation and accelerated realization of the aspirations of SDGs |  |   |   |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |

THANK YOU!



**FEEDBACK AND EVALUATION FORM**

PROJECT NAME: "IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA"

NAME OF ACTIVITY: LOCAL STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION (LSC) FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA

VENUE: LYSAK HAVEN PARK HOTEL, MACHAKOS

DATE: 28<sup>TH</sup> OCTOBER 2020

Name of Respondent  
(Optional).....

Mr. David Wafu Waker






Sex: ☒ Male

☐ Female

Age: ☐ Less than 35

☐ More than 35

**SECTION I: GENERAL APPRAISAL OF ACTIVITY/MEETING (kindly tick)**

| On a general scale, the spectator evaluated the activity        | Very unsatisfying<br> | Unsatisfying<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Organization of the activity                                    |  |   |  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   |  |
| Content of the activity   |  |   |  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   |  |
| Facilitator   |  |   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |
| Interaction between participants and facilitators               |  |   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |
| Appropriate duration of the activity in relation to the subject |  |   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |
| Inclusion of key stakeholders concerned with the subject matter |  |   |  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   |  |

**SECTION II: OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITY'S CONTENT**



1. In your opinion, did this event meet its set out objectives as on the agenda?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Kindly explain your answer

Yes because this project enhances the access of water to the communities hence promoting SDG goals.

### SECTION III: OVERVIEW OF THE PROJECT






1. What do you like about the project?

The reduction of Carbon credits

2. What don't you like about the project?

It offers clean water to the communities.

### SECTION IV: MEASUREMENT OF CHANGE (kindly tick)

| In your opinion, how would you rate the level of,   | Very Poor<br> | Poor<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| Your knowledge and understanding of the project   |  |   |   |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |
| Your understanding on the project's role in the implementation and accelerated realization of the aspirations of SDGs |  |   |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   |  |

THANK YOU!

FEEDBACK AND EVALUATION FORM

PROJECT NAME: "IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA"






NAME OF ACTIVITY: LOCAL STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION (LSC) FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA

VENUE: LYSAK HAVEN PARK HOTEL, MACHAKOS

DATE: 28<sup>TH</sup> OCTOBER 2020

|   |                                       |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| Name of Respondent<br>(Optional).....                 |                                       |
| .....   |                                       |
| Sex: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Male         | <input type="checkbox"/> Female       |
| Age: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Less than 35 | <input type="checkbox"/> More than 35 |

SECTION I: GENERAL APPRAISAL OF ACTIVITY/MEETING (kindly tick)

| On a general scale, the spectator evaluated the activity:       | Very unsatisfying<br> | Unsatisfying<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Organization of the activity                                    |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Content of the activity   |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Facilitator   |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Interaction between participants and facilitators               |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Appropriate duration of the activity in relation to the subject |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Inclusion of key stakeholders concerned with the subject matter |  |   |  |   | ✓  |

SECTION II: OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITY'S CONTENT

1. In your opinion, did this event meet its set out objectives as on the agenda?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Kindly explain your answer

Good project explanation

## SECTION III: OVERVIEW OF THE PROJECT






1. What do you like about the project?

The use of S&P to achieve SDG 13

2. What don't you like about the project?

N/A

## SECTION IV: MEASUREMENT OF CHANGE (kindly tick)

| In your opinion, how would you rate the level of,   | Very Poor   | Poor  | Average  | Good  | Excellent   |
|---|---|---|--|---|---|
|   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Your knowledge and understanding of the project   |   |   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   |
| Your understanding on the project's role in the implementation and accelerated realization of the aspirations of SDGs |   |   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   |

THANK YOU!

FEEDBACK AND EVALUATION FORM

PROJECT NAME: "IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA"

NAME OF ACTIVITY: LOCAL STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION (LSC) FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA

VENUE: LYSAK HAVEN PARK HOTEL, MACHAKOS

DATE: 28<sup>TH</sup> OCTOBER 2020

Name of Respondent  
(Optional).....






Sex: ☒ Male

☐ Female

Age: ☐ Less than 35

☒ More than 35

SECTION I: GENERAL APPRAISAL OF ACTIVITY/MEETING (kindly tick)

| On a general scale, the spectator evaluated the activity:       | Very unsatisfying<br> | Unsatisfying<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Organization of the activity                                    |  |   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |
| Content of the activity   |  |   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |
| Facilitator   |  |   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |
| Interaction between participants and facilitators               |  |   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |
| Appropriate duration of the activity in relation to the subject |  |   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |
| Inclusion of key stakeholders concerned with the subject matter |  |   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |

SECTION II: OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITY'S CONTENT

1. In your opinion, did this event meet its set out objectives as on the agenda?

☐



Yes ☒

No ☐

Kindly explain your answer

Good explanation!!

### SECTION III: OVERVIEW OF THE PROJECT






1. What do you like about the project?

Everything w/ water is a problem so this is a good thing

2. What don't you like about the project?

N/A

### SECTION IV: MEASUREMENT OF CHANGE (kindly tick)

| In your opinion, how would you rate the level of;   | Very Poor<br> | Poor<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Your knowledge and understanding of the project   |  |   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |
| Your understanding on the project's role in the implementation and accelerated realization of the aspirations of SDGs |  |   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |

THANK YOU!

FEEDBACK AND EVALUATION FORM

PROJECT NAME: "IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA"

NAME OF ACTIVITY: LOCAL STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION (LSC) FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA

VENUE: LYSAK HAVEN PARK HOTEL, MACHAKOS

DATE: 28<sup>TH</sup> OCTOBER 2020

Name of Respondent  
(Optional).....






Sex: ☒ Male

☐ Female

Age: ☐ Less than 35

☒ More than 35

SECTION I: GENERAL APPRAISAL OF ACTIVITY/MEETING (kindly tick)

| On a general scale, the spectator evaluated the activity:       | Very unsatisfying<br> | Unsatisfying<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Organization of the activity                                    |  |   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |
| Content of the activity   |  |   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |
| Facilitator   |  |   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |
| Interaction between participants and facilitators               |  |   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |
| Appropriate duration of the activity in relation to the subject |  |   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |
| Inclusion of key stakeholders concerned with the subject matter |  |   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |

SECTION II: OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITY'S CONTENT

1. In your opinion, did this event meet its set out objectives as on the agenda?



think • go climate conscious  
atmosfair

WaterKiosk®

Yes ☒

☐ No

Kindly explain your answer

The project was well explained!!

### SECTION III: OVERVIEW OF THE PROJECT






1. What do you like about the project?

The use of technology to beat climate change

2. What don't you like about the project?

N/A

### SECTION IV: MEASUREMENT OF CHANGE (kindly tick)

| In your opinion, how would you rate the level of;   | Very Poor<br> | Poor<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Your knowledge and understanding of the project   |  |   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |
| Your understanding on the project's role in the implementation and accelerated realization of the aspirations of SDGs |  |   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |

THANK YOU!



think + go climate conscious

atmosfair

WaterKiosk®

### FEEDBACK AND EVALUATION FORM

PROJECT NAME: "IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA"

NAME OF ACTIVITY: LOCAL STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION (LSC) FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA

VENUE: LYSAK HAVEN PARK HOTEL, MACHAKOS

DATE: 28<sup>TH</sup> OCTOBER 2020

Name of Respondent

(Optional)

Sex: ☐ Male

☒ Female

Age: ☐ Less than 35

☒ More than 35

#### SECTION I: GENERAL APPRAISAL OF ACTIVITY/MEETING (kindly tick)

| On a general scale, the spectator evaluated the activity        | Very unsatisfying | Unsatisfying | Average | Good | Excellent                           |
|---|-------------------|--------------|---------|------|-------------------------------------|
|   |                   |              |         |      |                                     |
| Organization of the activity                                    |                   |              |         |      | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Content of the activity   |                   |              |         |      | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Facilitator   |                   |              |         |      | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Interaction between participants and facilitators               |                   |              |         |      | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Appropriate duration of the activity in relation to the subject |                   |              |         |      | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Inclusion of key stakeholders concerned with the subject matter |                   |              |         |      | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

#### SECTION II: OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITY'S CONTENT

1. In your opinion, did this event meet its set out objectives as on the agenda?

☐

think • go climate conscious

atmosfair

WaterKiosk®

Yes ☒

No ☐

Kindly explain your answer

Good explanation

### SECTION III: OVERVIEW OF THE PROJECT






1. What do you like about the project?

The deliberate effort to reduce  
Carbon foot print in water sector

2. What don't you like about the project?

N/A

### SECTION IV: MEASUREMENT OF CHANGE (kindly tick)

| In your opinion, how would you rate the level of;   | Very Poor<br> | Poor<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| Your knowledge and understanding of the project   |  |  |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |
| Your understanding on the project's role in the implementation and accelerated realization of the aspirations of SDGs |  |  |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |

THANK YOU!

FEEDBACK AND EVALUATION FORM

PROJECT NAME: "IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA"

NAME OF ACTIVITY: LOCAL STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION (LSC) FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA

VENUE: LYSAK HAVEN PARK HOTEL, MACHAKOS

DATE: 28<sup>TH</sup> OCTOBER 2020

Name of Respondent  
(Optional).....






Sex: ☒ Male

☐ Female

Age: ☐ Less than 35

☒ More than 35

SECTION I: GENERAL APPRAISAL OF ACTIVITY/MEETING (kindly tick)

| On a general scale, the spectator evaluated the activity:       | Very unsatisfying<br> | Unsatisfying<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Organization of the activity                                    |  |   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |
| Content of the activity   |  |   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |
| Facilitator   |  |   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |
| Interaction between participants and facilitators               |  |   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |
| Appropriate duration of the activity in relation to the subject |  |   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |
| Inclusion of key stakeholders concerned with the subject matter |  |   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |

SECTION II: OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITY'S CONTENT

1. In your opinion, did this event meet its set out objectives as on the agenda?

☐

think • go climate conscious  
atmosfair

WaterKiosk®

☒ Yes

☐ No

Kindly explain your answer

Good explanation of the project

SECTION III: OVERVIEW OF THE PROJECT






1. What do you like about the project?

The work with the community in  
Water provision

2. What don't you like about the project?

N/A

SECTION IV: MEASUREMENT OF CHANGE (kindly tick)

| In your opinion, how would you rate the level of;   | Very Poor<br> | Poor<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Your knowledge and understanding of the project   |  |   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |
| Your understanding on the project's role in the implementation and accelerated realization of the aspirations of SDGs |  |   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |

THANK YOU!

FEEDBACK AND EVALUATION FORM

PROJECT NAME: "IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA"

NAME OF ACTIVITY: LOCAL STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION (LSC) FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA

VENUE: LYSAK HAVEN PARK HOTEL, MACHAKOS

DATE: 28<sup>TH</sup> OCTOBER 2020

Name of Respondent  
(Optional).....






Sex: ☒ Male

☐ Female

Age: ☐ Less than 35

☒ More than 35

SECTION I: GENERAL APPRAISAL OF ACTIVITY/MEETING (kindly tick)

| On a general scale, the spectator evaluated the activity:       | Very unsatisfying<br> | Unsatisfying<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Organization of the activity                                    |  |   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |
| Content of the activity   |  |   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |
| Facilitator   |  |   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |
| Interaction between participants and facilitators               |  |   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |
| Appropriate duration of the activity in relation to the subject |  |   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |
| Inclusion of key stakeholders concerned with the subject matter |  |   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |

SECTION II: OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITY'S CONTENT

1. In your opinion, did this event meet its set out objectives as on the agenda?

☐

Work together to make a difference  
atmosfair

WaterKiosk



Kindly explain your answer

Good explanation of the project

#### SECTION II: OVERVIEW OF THE PROJECT

1. What do you like about the project?

The provision of water through the Community and the reduced cost of water

2. What don't you like about the project?

N/A

#### SECTION IV: MEASUREMENT OF CHANGE (kindly tick)

| In your opinion, how would you rate the level of:   | Very Poor | Poor | Average | Good | Excellent |
|---|-----------|------|---------|------|-----------|
|   |           |      |         |      |           |
| Your knowledge and understanding of the project   |           |      |         |      | ✓         |
| Your understanding on the project's role in the implementation and accelerated realization of the aspirations of SDGs |           |      |         |      | ✓         |

THANK YOU!



**FEEDBACK AND EVALUATION FORM**

PROJECT NAME: "IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA"

NAME OF ACTIVITY: LOCAL STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION (LSC) FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA

VENUE: LYSAK HAVEN PARK HOTEL, MACHAKOS






DATE: 28<sup>TH</sup> OCTOBER 2020

Name of Respondent  
(Optional).....

Sex: ☐ Male ☒ Female

Age: ☐ Less than 35 ☒ More than 35

**SECTION I: GENERAL APPRAISAL OF ACTIVITY/MEETING (kindly tick)**

| On a general scale, the spectator evaluated the activity        | Very unsatisfying<br> | Unsatisfying<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Organization of the activity                                    |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Content of the activity   |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Facilitator   |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Interaction between participants and facilitators               |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Appropriate duration of the activity in relation to the subject |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Inclusion of key stakeholders concerned with the subject matter |  |   |  |   | ✓  |

**SECTION II: OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITY'S CONTENT**



1. In your opinion, did this event meet its set out objectives as on the agenda?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Kindly explain your answer

Well thought out, good by moderation and excellent presentation by both Samuel and Alliance

## SECTION III: OVERVIEW OF THE PROJECT






1. What do you like about the project?

I like that it will reduce the cost of drinking water

2. What don't you like about the project?

N/A

## SECTION IV: MEASUREMENT OF CHANGE (kindly tick)

| In your opinion, how would you rate the level of,   | Very Poor<br> | Poor<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| Your knowledge and understanding of the project   |  |   |   |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |
| Your understanding on the project's role in the implementation and accelerated realization of the aspirations of SDGs |  |   |   |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |

THANK YOU!

FEEDBACK AND EVALUATION FORM

PROJECT NAME: "IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA"

NAME OF ACTIVITY: LOCAL STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION (LSC) FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA

VENUE: LYSAK HAVEN PARK HOTEL, MACHAKOS






DATE: 28<sup>TH</sup> OCTOBER 2020

Name of Respondent  
(Optional).....

Sex: ☐ Male ☒ Female

Age: ☐ Less than 35 ☒ More than 35

SECTION I: GENERAL APPRAISAL OF ACTIVITY/MEETING (kindly tick)

| On a general scale, the spectator evaluated the activity:       | Very unsatisfying<br> | Unsatisfying<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Organization of the activity                                    |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Content of the activity   |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Facilitator   |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Interaction between participants and facilitators               |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Appropriate duration of the activity in relation to the subject |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Inclusion of key stakeholders concerned with the subject matter |  |   |  |   | ✓  |

SECTION II: OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITY'S CONTENT

1. In your opinion, did this event meet its set out objectives as on the agenda?

☒ Yes



No

Kindly explain your answer

water provision explanation

### SECTION III: OVERVIEW OF THE PROJECT

1. What do you like about the project?

Working with community members to provide water

2. What don't you like about the project?

N/A.

### SECTION IV: MEASUREMENT OF CHANGE (kindly tick)

| In your opinion, how would you rate the level of,   | Very Poor | Poor | Average | Good | Excellent                           |
|---|-----------|------|---------|------|-------------------------------------|
|   |           |      |         |      |                                     |
| Your knowledge and understanding of the project   |           |      |         |      | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Your understanding on the project's role in the implementation and accelerated realization of the aspirations of SDGs |           |      |         |      | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

THANK YOU!

**FEEDBACK AND EVALUATION FORM**

PROJECT NAME: "IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA"

NAME OF ACTIVITY: LOCAL STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION (LSC) FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA

VENUE: LYSAK HAVEN PARK HOTEL, MACHAKOS






DATE: 28<sup>TH</sup> OCTOBER 2020

Name of Respondent  
(Optional).....

Sex: ☒ Male ☐ Female

Age: ☐ Less than 35 ☒ More than 35

**SECTION I: GENERAL APPRAISAL OF ACTIVITY/MEETING (kindly tick)**

| On a general scale, the spectator evaluated the activity        | Very unsatisfying<br> | Unsatisfying<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Organization of the activity                                    |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Content of the activity   |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Facilitator   |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Interaction between participants and facilitators               |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Appropriate duration of the activity in relation to the subject |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Inclusion of key stakeholders concerned with the subject matter |  |   |  |   | ✓  |

**SECTION II: OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITY'S CONTENT**

1 In your opinion did this event meet its set out objectives as on the agenda?

☒ Yes



No

Kindly explain your answer

The project was well explained.

### SECTION III: OVERVIEW OF THE PROJECT

1 What do you like about the project?

The provision of water at an affordable cost

2 What don't you like about the project?

N/A

### SECTION IV: MEASUREMENT OF CHANGE (kindly tick)

In your opinion, how would you rate the level of

Very Poor

Poor

Average

Good

Excellent



Your knowledge and understanding of the project

Your understanding on the project's role in the implementation and accelerated realization of the aspirations of SDGs



THANK YOU!



think · go climate conscious

atmosfair

WaterKiosk®

### FEEDBACK AND EVALUATION FORM

PROJECT NAME: "IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA"

NAME OF ACTIVITY: LOCAL STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION (LSC) FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA

VENUE: LYSAK HAVEN PARK HOTEL, MACHAKOS






DATE: 28<sup>TH</sup> OCTOBER 2020

Name of Respondent (Optional) MARIN NDIRO

Sex: ☒ Male ☐ Female

Age: ☒ Less than 35 ☐ More than 35

#### SECTION I: GENERAL APPRAISAL OF ACTIVITY/MEETING (kindly tick)

| On a general scale, the spectator evaluated the activity        | Very unsatisfying<br> | Unsatisfying<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Organization of the activity                                    |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Content of the activity   |  |   |  | ✓   |  |
| Facilitator   |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Interaction between participants and facilitators               |  |   |  | ✓   |  |
| Appropriate duration of the activity in relation to the subject |  |   |  | ✓   |  |
| Inclusion of key stakeholders concerned with the subject matter |  |   |  | ✓   |  |

#### SECTION II: OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITY'S CONTENT

1 In your opinion, did this event meet its set out objectives as on the agenda?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Kindly explain your answer

The said objectives in the invite were met. The project was well explained and all the gray areas checked out.

### SECTION III: OVERVIEW OF THE PROJECT

1 What do you like about the project?

This project is viable, sustainable and will be of great importance in tackling the water issue in Machakos County.

2 What don't you like about the project?

Nothing.

### SECTION IV: MEASUREMENT OF CHANGE (kindly tick)

| In your opinion, how would you rate the level of,   | Very Poor | Poor | Average | Good | Excellent |
|---|-----------|------|---------|------|-----------|
|   |           |      |         |      |           |
| Your knowledge and understanding of the project   |           |      |         | ✓    |           |
| Your understanding on the project's role in the implementation and accelerated realization of the aspirations of SDGs |           |      |         | ✓    |           |

THANK YOU!



think • go climate conscious

atmosfair

WaterKiosk®

### FEEDBACK AND EVALUATION FORM

PROJECT NAME: "IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA"

NAME OF ACTIVITY: LOCAL STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION (LSC) FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA

VENUE: LYSAK HAVEN PARK HOTEL, MACHAKOS

DATE: 28<sup>TH</sup> OCTOBER 2020






Name of Respondent

(Optional).....Lucy.....Njambi.....Wanyeki.....

Sex: ☐ Male ☒ Female

Age: ☒ Less than 35 ☐ More than 35

#### SECTION I: GENERAL APPRAISAL OF ACTIVITY/MEETING (kindly tick)

| On a general scale, the spectator evaluated the activity:       | Very unsatisfying<br> | Unsatisfying<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Organization of the activity                                    |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Content of the activity   |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Facilitator   |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Interaction between participants and facilitators               |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Appropriate duration of the activity in relation to the subject |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Inclusion of key stakeholders concerned with the subject matter |  |   |  |   | ✓  |

#### SECTION II: OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITY'S CONTENT

1. In your opinion, did this event meet its set out objectives as on the agenda?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Kindly explain your answer

I was able to understand everything which was on today agenda

### SECTION III: OVERVIEW OF THE PROJECT

1. What do you like about the project?

It's excellent project which is has touched almost the life of everybody from adults and all people of different level of life from children to old grown ups and people.

2. What don't you like about the project?

everything is okay with the project

### SECTION IV: MEASUREMENT OF CHANGE (kindly tick)

| In your opinion, how would you rate the level of,   | Very Poor | Poor | Average | Good | Excellent |
|---|-----------|------|---------|------|-----------|
|   |           |      |         |      |           |
| Your knowledge and understanding of the project   |           |      |         |      | ✓         |
| Your understanding on the project's role in the implementation and accelerated realization of the aspirations of SDGs |           |      |         |      | ✓         |

THANK YOU!

FEEDBACK AND EVALUATION FORM

PROJECT NAME: "IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA"

NAME OF ACTIVITY: LOCAL STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION (LSC) FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA

VENUE: LYSAK HAVEN PARK HOTEL, MACHAKOS

DATE: 28<sup>TH</sup> OCTOBER 2020

Name of Respondent  
(Optional).....

Stephen MAWJARA

Sex: ☒ Male








Female

Age: ☒ Less than 35



More than 35

SECTION I: GENERAL APPRAISAL OF ACTIVITY/MEETING (kindly tick)

| On a general scale, the spectator evaluated the activity:       | Very unsatisfying<br> | Unsatisfying<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Organization of the activity                                    |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Content of the activity   |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Facilitator   |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Interaction between participants and facilitators               |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Appropriate duration of the activity in relation to the subject |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Inclusion of key stakeholders concerned with the subject matter |  |   |  |   | ✓  |

SECTION II: OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITY'S CONTENT

1. In your opinion, did this event meet its set out objectives as on the agenda?

☒ Yes



No

Kindly explain your answer

The Water Kiosk Narrative was properly explained and its Social Economic values are gained are remarkable.

### SECTION III: OVERVIEW OF THE PROJECT

1. What do you like about the project?

I like the idea because it reduces poverty, elevates health and wells, gives clean water and preserves the environment (clean energy).

2. What don't you like about the project?

N/A

### SECTION IV: MEASUREMENT OF CHANGE (kindly tick)

| In your opinion, how would you rate the level of;   | Very Poor | Poor | Average | Good | Excellent |
|---|-----------|------|---------|------|-----------|
|   |           |      |         |      |           |
| Your knowledge and understanding of the project   |           |      |         | ✓    |           |
| Your understanding on the project's role in the implementation and accelerated realization of the aspirations of SDGs |           |      |         | ✓    |           |

THANK YOU!



**FEEDBACK AND EVALUATION FORM**

PROJECT NAME: "IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA"

NAME OF ACTIVITY: LOCAL STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION (LSC) FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA

VENUE: LYSAK HAVEN PARK HOTEL, MACHAKOS

DATE: 28<sup>TH</sup> OCTOBER 2020

Name of Respondent  
(Optional).....

*Benjamin Edward*

Sex: ☒ Male








Female

Age: ☒ Less than 35



More than 35

**SECTION I: GENERAL APPRAISAL OF ACTIVITY/MEETING (kindly tick)**

| On a general scale, the spectator evaluated the activity:       | Very unsatisfying<br> | Unsatisfying<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Organization of the activity                                    |  |   |  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   |  |
| Content of the activity   |  |   |  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   |  |
| Facilitator   |  |   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |
| Interaction between participants and facilitators               |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |   |  |
| Appropriate duration of the activity in relation to the subject |  |   |  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   |  |
| Inclusion of key stakeholders concerned with the subject matter |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |   |  |

**SECTION II: OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITY'S CONTENT**

1. In your opinion, did this event meet its set out objectives as on the agenda?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Kindly explain your answer

It did explain, maybe on how they intend to bring out safe water for use.

## SECTION III: OVERVIEW OF THE PROJECT






1. What do you like about the project?

How salty water can be redirected to safe drinking water.

2. What don't you like about the project?

N/A

## SECTION IV: MEASUREMENT OF CHANGE (kindly tick)

| In your opinion, how would you rate the level of;   | Very Poor<br> | Poor<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| Your knowledge and understanding of the project   |  |   |   | ✓   |  |
| Your understanding on the project's role in the implementation and accelerated realization of the aspirations of SDGs |  |   |   | ✓   |  |

THANK YOU!

**FEEDBACK AND EVALUATION FORM**

PROJECT NAME: "IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA"

NAME OF ACTIVITY: LOCAL STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION (LSC) FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA

VENUE: LYSAK HAVEN PARK HOTEL, MACHAKOS

DATE: 28<sup>TH</sup> OCTOBER 2020

Name of Respondent  
(Optional).....

Sex: ☐ Male








Female

Age: ☒ Less than 35



More than 35

**SECTION I: GENERAL APPRAISAL OF ACTIVITY/MEETING (kindly tick)**

| On a general scale, the spectator evaluated the activity:       | Very unsatisfying<br> | Unsatisfying<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Organization of the activity                                    |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Content of the activity   |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Facilitator   |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Interaction between participants and facilitators               |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Appropriate duration of the activity in relation to the subject |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Inclusion of key stakeholders concerned with the subject matter |  |   |  | ✓   |  |

**SECTION II: OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITY'S CONTENT**



1. In your opinion, did this event meet its set out objectives as on the agenda?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Kindly explain your answer

I got to know everything  
I needed about the project

### SECTION III: OVERVIEW OF THE PROJECT






1. What do you like about the project?

It's a well organized project which  
can work anywhere in the country

2. What don't you like about the project?

Its economical price will reduce  
a lot of waterborne diseases

### SECTION IV: MEASUREMENT OF CHANGE (kindly tick)

| In your opinion, how would you rate the level of;   | Very Poor<br> | Poor<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Your knowledge and understanding of the project   |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Your understanding on the project's role in the implementation and accelerated realization of the aspirations of SDGs |  |   |  | ✓   |  |

THANK YOU!

think • go climate conscious

atmosfair

WaterKiosk®

### FEEDBACK AND EVALUATION FORM

PROJECT NAME: "IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA"

NAME OF ACTIVITY: LOCAL STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION (LSC) FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA

VENUE: LYSAK HAVEN PARK HOTEL, MACHAKOS






DATE: 28<sup>TH</sup> OCTOBER 2020

Name of Respondent  
(Optional).....

Sex: ☒ Male ☐ Female

Age: ☐ Less than 35 ☒ More than 35

#### SECTION I: GENERAL APPRAISAL OF ACTIVITY/MEETING (kindly tick)

| On a general scale, the spectator evaluated the activity:       | Very unsatisfying<br> | Unsatisfying<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Organization of the activity                                    |  |   |  | ✓   |  |
| Content of the activity   |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Facilitator   |  |   |  | ✓   |  |
| Interaction between participants and facilitators               |  |   |  | ✓   |  |
| Appropriate duration of the activity in relation to the subject |  |   |  | ✓   |  |
| Inclusion of key stakeholders concerned with the subject matter |  |   |  | ✓   |  |

#### SECTION II: OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITY'S CONTENT

1. In your opinion, did this event meet its set out objectives as on the agenda?
- ☒ Yes ☐ No

Kindly explain your answer

whole idea of water purification. Am I understood the

**SECTION III: OVERVIEW OF THE PROJECT**

1. What do you like about the project?

water sanitation.

2. What don't you like about the project?

**SECTION IV: MEASUREMENT OF CHANGE (kindly tick)**

| In your opinion, how would you rate the level of;   | Very Poor | Poor | Average | Good | Excellent |
|---|-----------|------|---------|------|-----------|
|   |           |      |         |      |           |
| Your knowledge and understanding of the project   |           |      |         | ✓    |           |
| Your understanding on the project's role in the implementation and accelerated realization of the aspirations of SDGs |           |      |         | ✓    |           |

THANK YOU!



**FEEDBACK AND EVALUATION FORM**

PROJECT NAME: "IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA"

NAME OF ACTIVITY: LOCAL STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION (LSC) FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA

VENUE: LYSAK HAVEN PARK HOTEL, MACHAKOS

DATE: 28<sup>TH</sup> OCTOBER 2020Name of Respondent  
(Optional).....

Steve .






Sex: ☒ Male

Female

Age: ☐ Less than 35

More than 35

**SECTION I: GENERAL APPRAISAL OF ACTIVITY/MEETING (kindly tick)**

| On a general scale, the spectator evaluated the activity:       | Very unsatisfying<br> | Unsatisfying<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Organization of the activity                                    |  |   |  | ✓   |  |
| Content of the activity   |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Facilitator   |  |   |  | ✓   |  |
| Interaction between participants and facilitators               |  |   |  | ✓   |  |
| Appropriate duration of the activity in relation to the subject |  |   |  | ✓   |  |
| Inclusion of key stakeholders concerned with the subject matter |  |   |  | ✓   |  |

**SECTION II: OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITY'S CONTENT**

1. In your opinion, did this event meet its set out objectives as on the agenda?
- ☒ Yes ☐ No

Kindly explain your answer

I do clearly understand roles played by Water Kiosk Partnership and implementation and impact to the community.






### SECTION III: OVERVIEW OF THE PROJECT

1. What do you like about the project?

Production of clean water through renewable energy.

2. What don't you like about the project?

### SECTION IV: MEASUREMENT OF CHANGE (kindly tick)

| In your opinion, how would you rate the level of;   | Very Poor<br> | Poor<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| Your knowledge and understanding of the project   |  |  |  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   |  |
| Your understanding on the project's role in the implementation and accelerated realization of the aspirations of SDGs |  |  |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |

THANK YOU!

FEEDBACK AND EVALUATION FORM

PROJECT NAME: "IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA"

NAME OF ACTIVITY: LOCAL STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION (LSC) FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA

VENUE: LYSAK HAVEN PARK HOTEL, MACHAKOS

DATE: 28<sup>TH</sup> OCTOBER 2020

Name of Respondent  
(Optional) .....






Sex: ☒ Male

☐ Female

Age: ☐ Less than 35

☒ More than 35

SECTION I: GENERAL APPRAISAL OF ACTIVITY/MEETING (kindly tick)

| On a general scale, the spectator evaluated the activity        | Very unsatisfying<br> | Unsatisfying<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Organization of the activity                                    |  |   |  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   |  |
| Content of the activity   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |   |  |
| Facilitator   |  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   |  |   |  |
| Interaction between participants and facilitators               |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |   |  |
| Appropriate duration of the activity in relation to the subject |  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   |  |   |  |
| Inclusion of key stakeholders concerned with the subject matter |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |   |  |

SECTION II: OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITY'S CONTENT



1. In your opinion, did this event meet its set out objectives as on the agenda?
- ☒ Yes ☐ No

Kindly explain your answer

In the manner it was explained to us, I am convinced that it has met its objective.

### SECTION III: OVERVIEW OF THE PROJECT






1. What do you like about the project?

- I - Being able to provide the Community with clean water

2. What don't you like about the project?

~~Not able to explain the~~  
I am not convinced in its positive impact on the community and how long?

### SECTION IV: MEASUREMENT OF CHANGE (kindly tick)

| In your opinion, how would you rate the level of;   | Very Poor<br> | Poor<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| Your knowledge and understanding of the project   |  |  | ✓  |   |  |
| Your understanding on the project's role in the implementation and accelerated realization of the aspirations of SDGs |  |  | ✓  |   |  |

THANK YOU!

**FEEDBACK AND EVALUATION FORM**

PROJECT NAME: "IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA"

NAME OF ACTIVITY: LOCAL STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION (LSC) FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA

VENUE: LYSAK HAVEN PARK HOTEL, MACHAKOS






DATE: 28<sup>TH</sup> OCTOBER 2020

Name of Respondent  
(Optional).....

Sex: ☒ Male ☐ Female

Age: ☐ Less than 35 ☒ More than 35

**SECTION I: GENERAL APPRAISAL OF ACTIVITY/MEETING (*kindly tick*)**

| On a general scale, the spectator evaluated the activity:       | Very unsatisfying<br> | Unsatisfying<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Organization of the activity                                    |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Content of the activity   |  |   |  | ✓   |  |
| Facilitator   |  |   |  | ✓   |  |
| Interaction between participants and facilitators               |  |   |  | ✓   |  |
| Appropriate duration of the activity in relation to the subject |  |   |  | ✓   |  |
| Inclusion of key stakeholders concerned with the subject matter |  |   |  |   | ✓  |

**SECTION II: OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITY'S CONTENT**

1. In your opinion, did this event meet its set out objectives as on the agenda?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Kindly explain your answer

Different people from different aspects met and exchanged ideas on how to provide safe and pure drinking water to our families and entire community.

### SECTION III: OVERVIEW OF THE PROJECT






1. What do you like about the project?

Provision of less cost purified drinking water that is affordable to the community.

2. What don't you like about the project?

Ability to use radiation rays instead of grid power as conservation method and affordability.

### SECTION IV: MEASUREMENT OF CHANGE (kindly tick)

| In your opinion, how would you rate the level of;   | Very Poor<br> | Poor<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| Your knowledge and understanding of the project   |  |   |   | ✓   |  |
| Your understanding on the project's role in the implementation and accelerated realization of the aspirations of SDGs |  |   | ✓   |   |  |

THANK YOU!



**FEEDBACK AND EVALUATION FORM**

PROJECT NAME: "IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA"

NAME OF ACTIVITY: LOCAL STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION (LSC) FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA

VENUE: LYSAK HAVEN PARK HOTEL, MACHAKOS






DATE: 28<sup>TH</sup> OCTOBER 2020

Name of Respondent (Optional) Lydia Kimondo

Sex: ☐ Male ☒ Female

Age: ☐ Less than 35 ☒ More than 35

**SECTION I: GENERAL APPRAISAL OF ACTIVITY/MEETING (kindly tick)**

| On a general scale, the spectator evaluated the activity:       | Very unsatisfying<br> | Unsatisfying<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Organization of the activity                                    |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Content of the activity   |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Facilitator   |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Interaction between participants and facilitators               |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Appropriate duration of the activity in relation to the subject |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Inclusion of key stakeholders concerned with the subject matter |  |   |  |   | ✓  |

**SECTION II: OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITY'S CONTENT**

1. In your opinion, did this event meet its set out objectives as on the agenda?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Kindly explain your answer

The project is well explained.  
The benefits of the project are well  
laid out & ~~spelled~~ discussed.






### SECTION III: OVERVIEW OF THE PROJECT

1. What do you like about the project?

The benefits to the communities i.e.  
social health & economic ben-  
efits are great.

2. What don't you like about the project?

### SECTION IV: MEASUREMENT OF CHANGE (kindly tick)

| In your opinion, how would you rate the level of;   | Very Poor   | Poor   | Average   | Good  | Excellent   |
|---|---|--|---|---|---|
|   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Your knowledge and understanding of the project   |   |  |   |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   |
| Your understanding on the project's role in the implementation and accelerated realization of the aspirations of SDGs |   |  |   |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   |

THANK YOU!



**FEEDBACK AND EVALUATION FORM**

PROJECT NAME: "IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA"

NAME OF ACTIVITY: LOCAL STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION (LSC) FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA

VENUE: LYSAK HAVEN PARK HOTEL, MACHAKOS

DATE: 28<sup>TH</sup> OCTOBER 2020

Name of Respondent  
(Optional).....

Damaris Gathuti

Sex: ☐ Male








Female

Age: ☐ Less than 35



More than 35

**SECTION I: GENERAL APPRAISAL OF ACTIVITY/MEETING (kindly tick)**

| On a general scale, the spectator evaluated the activity:       | Very unsatisfying<br> | Unsatisfying<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Organization of the activity                                    |  |   |  | ✓   |  |
| Content of the activity   |  |   |  | ✓   |  |
| Facilitator   |  |   |  | ✓   |  |
| Interaction between participants and facilitators               |  |   |  | ✓   |  |
| Appropriate duration of the activity in relation to the subject |  |   |  | ✓   |  |
| Inclusion of key stakeholders concerned with the subject matter |  |   |  |   |  |

**SECTION II: OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITY'S CONTENT**

1. In your opinion, did this event meet its set out objectives as on the agenda?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Kindly explain your answer

I was able to understand the objective of Atmosfair

### SECTION III: OVERVIEW OF THE PROJECT






1. What do you like about the project?

It gives hope to having safe water for all

2. What don't you like about the project?

I need more time to understand the whole implication of getting into it

### SECTION IV: MEASUREMENT OF CHANGE (kindly tick)

| In your opinion, how would you rate the level of;   | Very Poor<br> | Poor<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| Your knowledge and understanding of the project   |  |  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |   |  |
| Your understanding on the project's role in the implementation and accelerated realization of the aspirations of SDGs |  |  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |   |  |

THANK YOU!

**FEEDBACK AND EVALUATION FORM**

PROJECT NAME: "IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA"

NAME OF ACTIVITY: LOCAL STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION (LSC) FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA

VENUE: LYSAK HAVEN PARK HOTEL, MACHAKOS

DATE: 28<sup>TH</sup> OCTOBER 2020

Name of Respondent  
(Optional).....

Sex: ☐ Male








Female

Age: ☐ Less than 35



More than 35

**SECTION I: GENERAL APPRAISAL OF ACTIVITY/MEETING (kindly tick)**

| On a general scale, the spectator evaluated the activity:       | Very unsatisfying<br> | Unsatisfying<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Organization of the activity                                    |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Content of the activity   |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Facilitator   |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Interaction between participants and facilitators               |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Appropriate duration of the activity in relation to the subject |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Inclusion of key stakeholders concerned with the subject matter |  |   |  |   | ✓  |

**SECTION II: OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITY'S CONTENT**



1. In your opinion, did this event meet its set out objectives as on the agenda?
- ☒ Yes ☐ No

Kindly explain your answer

Few me a I saw a business  
opportunity health reduction people and  
poverty

### SECTION III: OVERVIEW OF THE PROJECT

1. What do you like about the project?

The common man will get  
clean water be healthy  
the people drinking clean water  
often

2. What don't you like about the project?

The cost of getting waterkiosk  
is very high

### SECTION IV: MEASUREMENT OF CHANGE (kindly tick)

| In your opinion, how would you rate the level of;   | Very Poor | Poor | Average | Good | Excellent |
|---|-----------|------|---------|------|-----------|
|   |           |      |         |      |           |
| Your knowledge and understanding of the project   |           |      |         | ✓    |           |
| Your understanding on the project's role in the implementation and accelerated realization of the aspirations of SDGs |           |      |         | ✓    |           |

THANK YOU!

**FEEDBACK AND EVALUATION FORM**

PROJECT NAME: "IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA"

NAME OF ACTIVITY: LOCAL STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION (LSC) FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA

VENUE: LYSAK HAVEN PARK HOTEL, MACHAKOS

DATE: 28<sup>TH</sup> OCTOBER 2020

Name of Respondent  
(Optional).....

John






Sex: ☒ Male

☐ Female

Age: ☐ Less than 35

☒ More than 35

**SECTION I: GENERAL APPRAISAL OF ACTIVITY/MEETING (kindly tick)**

| On a general scale, the spectator evaluated the activity:       | Very unsatisfying<br> | Unsatisfying<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Organization of the activity                                    |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Content of the activity   |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Facilitator   |  |   |  | ✓   |  |
| Interaction between participants and facilitators               |  |   |  | ✓   |  |
| Appropriate duration of the activity in relation to the subject |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Inclusion of key stakeholders concerned with the subject matter |  |   |  |   |  |

**SECTION II: OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITY'S CONTENT**



1. In your opinion, did this event meet its set out objectives as on the agenda?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Kindly explain your answer

The features of the project and its implementation strategy were well discussed and there was a clear understanding of what the project entails. Participatory discussions among the stakeholders also helped a lot and was really good.






## SECTION III: OVERVIEW OF THE PROJECT

1. What do you like about the project?

The project model is unique. The community based approach is awesome which focuses on touching the lives of the most vulnerable in the society.

2. What don't you like about the project?

## SECTION IV: MEASUREMENT OF CHANGE (kindly tick)

| In your opinion, how would you rate the level of;   | Very Poor   | Poor  | Average   | Good  | Excellent   |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Your knowledge and understanding of the project   |   |   |   |   | ✓   |
| Your understanding on the project's role in the implementation and accelerated realization of the aspirations of SDGs |   |   |   |   | ✓   |

THANK YOU!

**FEEDBACK AND EVALUATION FORM**

PROJECT NAME: "IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA"

NAME OF ACTIVITY: LOCAL STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION (LSC) FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA

VENUE: LYSAK HAVEN PARK HOTEL, MACHAKOS

DATE: 28<sup>TH</sup> OCTOBER 2020

Name of Respondent  
(Optional).....

Sex: ☒ Male








Female

Age: ☒ Less than 35



More than 35

**SECTION I: GENERAL APPRAISAL OF ACTIVITY/MEETING (kindly tick)**

| On a general scale, the spectator evaluated the activity:       | Very unsatisfying<br> | Unsatisfying<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Organization of the activity                                    |  |   |  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   |  |
| Content of the activity   |  |   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |
| Facilitator   |  |   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |
| Interaction between participants and facilitators               |  |   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |
| Appropriate duration of the activity in relation to the subject |  |   |  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   |  |
| Inclusion of key stakeholders concerned with the subject matter |  |   |  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   |  |

**SECTION II: OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITY'S CONTENT**

1. In your opinion, did this event meet its set out objectives as on the agenda?
- ☒ Yes ☐ No

Kindly explain your answer

Technical by the nature of the project  
in meeting sector related

### SECTION III: OVERVIEW OF THE PROJECT

1. What do you like about the project?

Efforts made to achieve  
goals such as poverty reduction, development

2. What don't you like about the project?

None

### SECTION IV: MEASUREMENT OF CHANGE (kindly tick)

| In your opinion, how would you rate the level of:   | Very Poor | Poor | Average | Good | Excellent                           |
|---|-----------|------|---------|------|-------------------------------------|
|   |           |      |         |      |                                     |
| Your knowledge and understanding of the project   |           |      |         |      | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Your understanding on the project's role in the implementation and accelerated realization of the aspirations of SDGs |           |      |         |      | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

THANK YOU!



**FEEDBACK AND EVALUATION FORM**

PROJECT NAME: "IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA"






NAME OF ACTIVITY: LOCAL STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION (LSC) FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA

VENUE: LYSAK HAVEN PARK HOTEL, MACHAKOS

DATE: 28<sup>TH</sup> OCTOBER 2020

|   |  |
|---|--|
| Name of Respondent (Optional)..... <i>boy and</i> ..... |  |
| Sex: <input type="checkbox"/> Male                      | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Female |
| Age: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Less than 35   | <input type="checkbox"/> More than 35      |

**SECTION I: GENERAL APPRAISAL OF ACTIVITY/MEETING (*kindly tick*)**

| On a general scale, the spectator evaluated the activity:       | Very unsatisfying<br> | Unsatisfying<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Organization of the activity                                    |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Content of the activity   |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Facilitator   |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Interaction between participants and facilitators               |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Appropriate duration of the activity in relation to the subject |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Inclusion of key stakeholders concerned with the subject matter |  |   |  |   | ✓  |

**SECTION II: OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITY'S CONTENT**

1. In your opinion, did this event meet its set out objectives as on the agenda?

☒ Yes



No

Kindly explain your answer

Detailed information offered with great insights






### SECTION III: OVERVIEW OF THE PROJECT

1. What do you like about the project?

It's environment friendly and very practical.  
It applies in most of the SDGs

2. What don't you like about the project?

### SECTION IV: MEASUREMENT OF CHANGE (kindly tick)

| In your opinion, how would you rate the level of;   | Very Poor<br> | Poor<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Your knowledge and understanding of the project   |  |   |  | ✓   |  |
| Your understanding on the project's role in the implementation and accelerated realization of the aspirations of SDGs |  |   |  |   | ✓  |

THANK YOU!



FEEDBACK AND EVALUATION FORM

PROJECT NAME: "IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA"






NAME OF ACTIVITY: LOCAL STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION (LSC) FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA

VENUE: LYSAK HAVEN PARK HOTEL, MACHAKOS

DATE: 28<sup>TH</sup> OCTOBER 2020

|   |  |
|---|--|
| Name of Respondent (Optional).....            | Daniel Nte                                       |
| Sex: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Male | <input type="checkbox"/> Female                  |
| Age: <input type="checkbox"/> Less than 35    | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> More than 35 |

SECTION I: GENERAL APPRAISAL OF ACTIVITY/MEETING (kindly tick)

| On a general scale, the spectator evaluated the activity:       | Very unsatisfying<br> | Unsatisfying<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Organization of the activity                                    |  |   |  | ✓   |  |
| Content of the activity   |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Facilitator   |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Interaction between participants and facilitators               |  |   |  | ✓   |  |
| Appropriate duration of the activity in relation to the subject |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Inclusion of key stakeholders concerned with the subject matter |  |   |  | ✓   |  |

SECTION II: OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITY'S CONTENT

1. In your opinion, did this event meet its set out objectives as on the agenda?

☒ Yes



No

Kindly explain your answer






### SECTION III: OVERVIEW OF THE PROJECT

1. What do you like about the project?

we've learnt more about solar desalination

2. What don't you like about the project?

### SECTION IV: MEASUREMENT OF CHANGE (kindly tick)

| In your opinion, how would you rate the level of;   | Very Poor   | Poor  | Average  | Good  | Excellent   |
|---|---|---|--|---|---|
|   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Your knowledge and understanding of the project   |   |   |  |   | ✓   |
| Your understanding on the project's role in the implementation and accelerated realization of the aspirations of SDGs |   |   |  | ✓   |   |

THANK YOU!

**FEEDBACK AND EVALUATION FORM**

PROJECT NAME: "IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA"

NAME OF ACTIVITY: LOCAL STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION (LSC) FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA

VENUE: LYSAK HAVEN PARK HOTEL, MACHAKOS






DATE: 28<sup>TH</sup> OCTOBER 2020

Name of Respondent (Optional)..... Daniel Moloche Kariuki

Sex: ☒ Male ☐ Female

Age: ☒ Less than 35 ☐ More than 35

**SECTION I: GENERAL APPRAISAL OF ACTIVITY/MEETING (kindly tick)**

| On a general scale, the spectator evaluated the activity:       | Very unsatisfying<br> | Unsatisfying<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Organization of the activity                                    |  |   |  |   | /  |
| Content of the activity   |  |   |  |   | /  |
| Facilitator   |  |   |  |   | /  |
| Interaction between participants and facilitators               |  |   |  |   | /  |
| Appropriate duration of the activity in relation to the subject |  |   |  |   | /  |
| Inclusion of key stakeholders concerned with the subject matter |  |   |  |   | /  |

**SECTION II: OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITY'S CONTENT**



1. In your opinion, did this event meet its set out objectives as on the agenda?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Kindly explain your answer

Positive response to attendees  
Positive and helpful explanation making attendees  
understand better about the project






### SECTION III: OVERVIEW OF THE PROJECT

1. What do you like about the project?

Time Management and Cost Cutting about  
Water saving.

2. What don't you like about the project?

### SECTION IV: MEASUREMENT OF CHANGE (kindly tick)

| In your opinion, how would you rate the level of;   | Very Poor<br> | Poor<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| Your knowledge and understanding of the project   |  |  |  |   | —  |
| Your understanding on the project's role in the implementation and accelerated realization of the aspirations of SDGs |  |  |  |   | —  |

THANK YOU!

**FEEDBACK AND EVALUATION FORM**

PROJECT NAME: "IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA"

NAME OF ACTIVITY: LOCAL STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION (LSC) FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA

VENUE: LYSAK HAVEN PARK HOTEL, MACHAKOS

DATE: 28<sup>TH</sup> OCTOBER 2020

Name of Respondent  
(Optional).....

S. K. Theuri<sup>o</sup>. 0722301424






Sex: ☒ Male

☐ Female

Age: ☐ Less than 35

☐ More than 35

**SECTION I: GENERAL APPRAISAL OF ACTIVITY/MEETING (kindly tick)**

| On a general scale, the spectator evaluated the activity:       | Very unsatisfying<br> | Unsatisfying<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Organization of the activity                                    |  |   |  | ✓   |  |
| Content of the activity   |  |   |  | ✓   |  |
| Facilitator   |  |   |  | ✓   |  |
| Interaction between participants and facilitators               |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Appropriate duration of the activity in relation to the subject |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Inclusion of key stakeholders concerned with the subject matter |  |   |  | ✓   |  |

**SECTION II: OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITY'S CONTENT**



1. In your opinion, did this event meet its set out objectives as on the agenda?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Kindly explain your answer

Yes it has shown how I can  
manage my water kiosk

### SECTION III: OVERVIEW OF THE PROJECT

1. What do you like about the project?

If done it will help the  
locals.

2. What don't you like about the project?

It did not show how the  
person ~~had~~ <sup>is</sup> involved in the  
project will make money for it.

### SECTION IV: MEASUREMENT OF CHANGE (kindly tick)

| In your opinion, how would you rate the level of;   | Very Poor | Poor | Average | Good | Excellent |
|---|-----------|------|---------|------|-----------|
|   |           |      |         |      |           |
| Your knowledge and understanding of the project   |           |      |         |      |           |
| Your understanding on the project's role in the implementation and accelerated realization of the aspirations of SDGs |           |      |         |      |           |

THANK YOU!

**FEEDBACK AND EVALUATION FORM**

PROJECT NAME: "IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA"






NAME OF ACTIVITY: LOCAL STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION (LSC) FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA

VENUE: LYSAK HAVEN PARK HOTEL, MACHAKOS

DATE: 28<sup>TH</sup> OCTOBER 2020

|   |  |
|---|--|
| Name of Respondent<br>(Optional).....   |  |
| Sex: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Male <input type="checkbox"/> Female               |  |
| Age: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Less than 35 <input type="checkbox"/> More than 35 |  |

**SECTION I: GENERAL APPRAISAL OF ACTIVITY/MEETING (kindly tick)**

| On a general scale, the spectator evaluated the activity:       | Very unsatisfying<br> | Unsatisfying<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Organization of the activity                                    |  |   |  |   |  |
| Content of the activity   |  |   |  | ✓   |  |
| Facilitator   |  |   |  | ✓   |  |
| Interaction between participants and facilitators               |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Appropriate duration of the activity in relation to the subject |  |   |  | ✓   |  |
| Inclusion of key stakeholders concerned with the subject matter |  |   |  | ✓   |  |

**SECTION II: OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITY'S CONTENT**

1. In your opinion, did this event meet its set out objectives as on the agenda?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Kindly explain your answer

I met the set out objectives of the event. I was informed about the operation of the system and its benefits.






### SECTION III: OVERVIEW OF THE PROJECT

1. What do you like about the project?

It is long able to operate on green energy.

2. What don't you like about the project?

### SECTION IV: MEASUREMENT OF CHANGE (kindly tick)

| In your opinion, how would you rate the level of;   | Very Poor   | Poor   | Average   | Good  | Excellent   |
|---|---|--|---|---|---|
|   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Your knowledge and understanding of the project   |   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   |   |
| Your understanding on the project's role in the implementation and accelerated realization of the aspirations of SDGs |   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   |   |

THANK YOU!



atmosfair

WaterKiosk

### FEEDBACK AND EVALUATION FORM

PROJECT NAME IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA

NAME OF ACTIVITY LOCAL STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION (LSC) FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA

VENUE: LYBAR HAVEN PARK HOTEL, MACHAKOS

DATE 28<sup>th</sup> OCTOBER 2020

Name of Respondent (Optional)

PASCHIA MUMUKIA MUKHAPU

Sex: ☒ Male

☐

Female

Age: ☒ Less than 25

☐

More than 25

#### SECTION 1: GENERAL APPRAISAL OF ACTIVITY MEETING (AND/OR WORK)

| On a general scale, the respondent evaluated the activity       | Very unsatisfying     | Unsatisfying          | Average               | Good                             | Excellent                        |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Organization of the activity                                    | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| Content of the activity   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| Facilitation  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| Interaction between participants and facilitator                | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| Appropriate duration of the activity in relation to the subject | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            |
| Inclusion of key stakeholders concerned with the subject matter | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>            |

#### SECTION 2: OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITY'S CONTENT

1. In your opinion, did this event meet its set out objectives as on the agenda?
- ☒ Yes ☐ No

Kindly explain your answer

Learn<sup>aspect</sup> of the technical & socio-economic benefits of the project.

### SECTION III: OVERVIEW OF THE PROJECT

1. What do you like about the project?

- The holistic nature of the project.

2. What don't you like about the project?

### SECTION IV: MEASUREMENT OF CHANGE (kindly tick)

| In your opinion, how would you rate the level of;   | Very Poor | Poor | Average | Good | Excellent |
|---|-----------|------|---------|------|-----------|
|   |           |      |         |      |           |
| Your knowledge and understanding of the project   |           |      |         | ✓    |           |
| Your understanding on the project's role in the implementation and accelerated realization of the aspirations of SDGs |           |      |         | ✓    |           |

THANK YOU!



**FEEDBACK AND EVALUATION FORM**

PROJECT NAME: "IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA"

NAME OF ACTIVITY: LOCAL STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION (LSC) FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF WATER KIOSKS IN KENIA

VENUE: LYSAK HAVEN PARK HOTEL, MACHAKOS

DATE: 28<sup>TH</sup> OCTOBER 2020

Name of Respondent  
(Optional).....

*Luke Odera*

Sex: ☒ Male








Female

Age: ☒ Less than 35



More than 35

**SECTION I: GENERAL APPRAISAL OF ACTIVITY/MEETING (kindly tick)**

| On a general scale, the spectator evaluated the activity:       | Very unsatisfying<br> | Unsatisfying<br> | Average<br> | Good<br> | Excellent<br> |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Organization of the activity                                    |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Content of the activity   |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Facilitator   |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Interaction between participants and facilitators               |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Appropriate duration of the activity in relation to the subject |  |   |  |   | ✓  |
| Inclusion of key stakeholders concerned with the subject matter |  |   |  |   | ✓  |

**SECTION II: OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITY'S CONTENT**

1. In your opinion, did this event meet its set out objectives as on the agenda?
- ☒ Yes ☐ No

Kindly explain your answer

The project was explained well

### SECTION III: OVERVIEW OF THE PROJECT

1. What do you like about the project?

Its ability and aim to provide water to the community

2. What don't you like about the project?

N/A

### SECTION IV: MEASUREMENT OF CHANGE (kindly tick)

| In your opinion, how would you rate the level of;   | Very Poor | Poor | Average | Good | Excellent |
|---|-----------|------|---------|------|-----------|
|   |           |      |         |      |           |
| Your knowledge and understanding of the project   |           |      |         |      | ✓         |
| Your understanding on the project's role in the implementation and accelerated realization of the aspirations of SDGs |           |      |         |      | ✓         |

THANK YOU!